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Abstract

An information technology that can combine the physical world and virtual world is desired. The Internet of Things (IoT) is a concept system that uses Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), WSN and barcode scanners to sense and to detect physical objects and events. This information is shared with people on the Internet. With the announcement of the “Smarter Planet” concept by IBM, the problem of how to share this data was raised. However, the original design of WSN aims to provide environment monitoring and control within a small scale local network. It cannot meet the demands of the IoT because there is a lack of multi-connection functionality with other WSNs and upper level applications. As various standards of WSNs provide information for different purposes, a hybrid system that gives a complete answer by combining all of them could be promising for future IoT applications.

This thesis is on the subject of 'Federated Sensor Network' design and architectural development for the Internet of Things. A Federated Sensor Network (FSN) is a system that integrates WSNs and the Internet. Currently, methods of integrating WSNs and the Internet can follow one of three main directions: a Front-End Proxy solution, a Gateway solution or a TCP/IP Overlay solution. Architectures based on the ideas from all three directions are presented in this thesis; this forms a comprehensive body of research on possible Federated Sensor Network architecture designs. In
addition, a fully compatible technology for the sensor network application, namely the Sensor Model Language (SensorML), has been reviewed and embedded into our FSN systems. The IoT as a new concept is also comprehensively described and the major technical issues discussed. Finally, a case study of the IoT in logistic management for emergency response is given. Proposed FSN architectures based on the Gateway solution are demonstrated through hardware implementation and lab tests. A demonstration of the 6LoWPAN enabled federated sensor network based on the TCP/IP Overlay solution presents a good result for the iNET localization and tracking project. All the tests of the designs have verified feasibility and achieve the target of the IoT concept.
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<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
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<tr>
<td>UDP</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLAN</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>XML</td>
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</tr>
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Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Technical Background

1.1.1 Sensors and Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)

Since research on “Low Power Wireless Integrated Micro sensor” was founded by DARPA in 1994, the topic of Wireless sensor networks has become a highly-researched in the realm of computer science and electronics engineering. It deploys a large number of small wireless sensors that can sample, process, and deliver information to external systems and opens many novel applications. Smart environments and real-time surveillance are often required in various areas such as buildings, utilities, industries, homes, shipboards, and transport system automation. Like any sentient organism, these applications rely first and foremost on sensory data from the real world (Cook and Das, 2004). Many types of sensors have been designed for different purposes, as shown in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1 Sensor measurements for WSN (Cook and Das, 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Transduction Principle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Properties</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Piezoresistive, capacitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Thermistor, thermo-mechanical, thermocouple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>Resistive, capacitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>Pressure change, thermistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion Properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>E-mag, E-vision, GPS, contact sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>Doppler, Hall effect, optoelectronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular velocity</td>
<td>Optical encoder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration</td>
<td>Piezoresistive, piezoelectric, optical fibre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>Piezoresistive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>Piezoelectric, piezoresistive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque</td>
<td>Piezoresistive, optoelectronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slip</td>
<td>Dual torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration</td>
<td>Piezoresistive, piezoelectric, optical fibre, sound, ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tactile/Contact</td>
<td>Contact switch, capacitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity</td>
<td>Hall effect, capacitive, magnetic, seismic, acoustic, RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance/Range</td>
<td>E-mag(sonar, radar, lidar), magnetic, tunnelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>E-mag, IR, acoustic, seismic (vibration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical agents</td>
<td>Biochemical transduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal features</td>
<td>Vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal ID</td>
<td>Fingerprint, retinal scan, voice, heat plume, vision analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to transfer sensory data, the sensor nodes are equipped with on-board batteries and radio transmitter systems, allowing them to establish an independent wireless network and communicate with each other using a multi-hop communication protocol. From Figure 1-1, there is a sink node works like a gateway in traditional networks and can be placed anywhere close to the sensor field within the RF range of at least one sensor node. The information collected inside the sensor field will then be sent to the sink node, which is responsible for transferring data to the task manager node for application use; this can be done via an external network or a direct cable.
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Figure 1-1 Sensor nodes scattered in a sensor field (Akyildiz et al., 2002)

The sensor network nodes are usually self-powered either by on-board batteries or by gathering power from the surroundings using various approaches, such as solar power, hydropower, wind and vibration (Norman, 2006). The electrical power that can be gathered by current technologies is very limited so network protocols used to construct a Wireless Sensor Network must be power efficient to enable a reasonable lifetime.

While traditional networks aim to improve service quality and bandwidth efficiency, the chief design objective of WSN is to achieve power efficiency and enable dynamic network topologies. Thus, the WSN has many unique features. These include: limited communication capacity, limited computation capacity, low and limited power supply, low data rate (compare to traditional ad hoc networks), numerous network nodes, self-organized network protocol, capacity for network self-maintenance and huge real-time data flow. After its first application in military sensing (Melanie et al., 2006), the WSN has shown great potential in civilian use such as the environment monitoring and forecasting, safety control and health monitoring etc. Automobile navigation systems could become aware of traffic conditions, weather, and road conditions along a projected route. Buildings can be instrumented to permit fire-fighters and other rescuers to map ideal egress routes based on the location of fire and structural damage. Medics and physicians at the scene of a disaster can track
patient vital signs and transport victims in an efficient manner based on bed and equipment availability at local hospitals (Jeff and Peter, 2004). Forest-fire prevention systems can give an alarm when spot fire starts, dam supervisory control systems are used for detecting potential safety hazards and home intelligent Networks make peoples’ lives easier. The research on WSNs is on-going; there are many research groups working on different fields of WSN in the world, such as protocols for routing, synchronization, fault tolerant, localisation, collaborative information processing, data aggregation, etc.

1.1.2 The Internet of Things (IoT)

Semantically, “Internet of Things” means “a world-wide network of interconnected objects uniquely addressable, based on standard communication protocols” (Bassi, 2008). It is an integrated part of the Future Internet and could be defined as a dynamic global network infrastructure with self-configuring capabilities based on standard and interoperable communication protocols (European Commission, 2009). The term Internet of Things was first used by Kevin Ashton in 1999. At the very beginning, Radio-Frequency identification (RFID) is often seen as a prerequisite for the IoT. With the great development of identification technology, other technologies have been used in the IoT world, such as barcodes and 2D-code. Until now, the focus in IoT development has shifted from objects identification to the integration and reaction between each IoT component. The IoT combines the WSN, RFID and other sensor technology to identify the object in both the physical world and the virtual world. As showed in Figure 1-2, intelligent middleware in the IoT architecture will allow the creation of a dynamic map of the physical world within the virtual space by using a high temporal and spatial resolution, and combining the characteristics of ubiquitous sensor networks and other identifiable “things”. To get these components combined together, research on energy consumption, synchronization, fault tolerant, collaborative information processing, data aggregation, semantics data description, etc. is required.
Figure 1-2 Internet of Things a symbiotic interaction among the real physical, the digital, virtual worlds and society (European Commission, 2009)

There is not a standard IoT specification yet so the definition of IoT has still some fuzziness. It can have different facets depending on the perspective taken. People have already drawn the picture for the IoT’s future application. They are mainly focused on the following fields:

- **Retail** – the electronic tags offer multiple benefits over the barcode for both retailers and the consumers. This step may save cost by providing unified item identification between the producer, storage, shop floor, cashier and checkout, even for the anti-theft mechanism.

- **Logistics** – the obvious observation from the benefits of applying IoT is that the warehouses will become completely automated. As items are checked in and out, orders will be automatically passed to suppliers. This will allow better asset management and proactive planning on behalf of the transporter.

- **Health** – with the various kinds of sensor applied, the patients will be enabled to stay longer and safer at home since the equipment itself can alarm the hospital in cases of a critical situation. Even the medical research could benefit by collecting the data from patients.
• Home – there are already examples of smart houses being demonstrated, but the current solutions are limited for selected “things”, which means not all the things can be connected and interact with each other. In a future IoT-applied intelligent home, everything will be connected; even lamps will be addressable and intelligent and a house management controller will be able to control every single smart device.

• Transportation – traffic is one of the most common problems in modern cities. A traffic jam may result in a bigger chance of accident, causing losses in life and property, and even greater carbon emissions. With IoT device-embedded vehicles, each car may tell other cars when there is a queue ahead; the following cars may re-plan the route by the intelligent navigator. It can also tell the driver to keep a safe distance to the surrounding cars and alert on dangerous actions, like speeding when the weather conditions are poor. With interactions between all the vehicles on the road, the autopilot function may become a reality shortly after.

1.1.3 Federated Sensor Network

The number and the scale of sensor networks applications are ever increasing. People are not content with only displaying sensor data from one WSN. However, the constraints of wireless sensor network nodes, such as communication range and limited energy means that traditional data collection methods and techniques cannot be used for a huge amount of wireless sensor networks. Some of the applications may involve multiple sensor networks to work together for improving data accuracy or integrity of monitoring conditions, e.g. environment sensing, care system, military applications, etc. Different sensor networks and users cannot be simply linked or connected with each other (Xu and Yang, 2013). Hence, we need a grown-up system that has the ability of handling heavy enquiry services and large volumes of data transmission processes. For that purpose, one of the biggest challenges is how to reduce the processing delay and response real-time data to increase system efficiency.
and reliability.

1.2 Problem Description

The original design of WSN only focused on the data produced in the current network; current WSN technology insufficient for the integration with other networks (Roman and Lopez, 2004). Nowadays, the number of information source increases rapidly. With the increasing of requirements from users, the function and the scale of sensor networks applications become more comprehensive, more details and more complex. People need to have a comprehensive view for all the data from events as they are happening. In this situation, the information collected by sensor networks needs to be combined and analysed together. For example, Logistics management is the process of planning, implementing and controlling efficient, cost-effective flow of raw materials, in-process inventory, finished goods and related information from point-of-origin to point-of-consumption for the purpose of conforming to customer requirements (Lambert and Stock, 1993). With the integration of information, transportation, inventory, warehousing, material handling and packaging, logistics management becomes a type of reflected data collection and data procession. Much work has been done to improve the whole supply chain performance; for example, to improve the external service quality at each distribution point on the chain. This requires the internal service performance at each distribution point to be improved initially (Conduit and Mavondo, 2001). WSN technologies have been widely used in Logistic management area where the situation of the whole logistic processing can be monitored by large varieties of sensors. However, WSNs are always handled by a central controlling entity and dedicated to a single application so they are not integrated with other networks. We argue that this is due to the fact that we do not yet have the means to deal with a secure multi-purpose federated sensor network, running different applications in parallel and able to reconfigure dynamically.
1.3 Research Challenges

The research in this thesis investigates the development of architectures for Federated Sensor Network that contains a large number of WSNs, including technologies for real-time Data Transmission, Data Collection and Data Description Method. These technologies present a challenge as WSNs are usually used in situations that usually have limited resources. Often, there may be insufficient resources to implement the data processing progress needed for a federated system, such as Data description, Data tagging and Data destination locating. WSNs are usually low-power and low-data-rate networks with the components even relying on very limited on-board batteries. Thus, while integrating the working status of each individual WSN in the federated system, issues such as network protocol conversion and reducing network traffic load need to be taken into consideration. For example a query from an external network may be unable to reach the specific sensor node because of the structure of WSNs are not visible from the outside. And also, the data packets may be converted to different protocols two or more times before reaching the destination in the external network. In addition, the differences between reality and theory, such as WSN’s energy power consumption and server processing delay, could further prevent the adopting of system architecture and mechanisms.

1.4 Motivation

The use of WSNs extends human’s sensing capability by pushing the concept of the “intelligent ubiquitous environment” in the real world. However, the lack of end-to-end communications between the nodes and external devices on the Internet has so far limited their impact. In order to share this sensing information, the associated system structure, which might affect the data transmission process and system response time, must be addressed. In addition, in the field of integrating WSNs and the Internet, most existing research outputs have focused on the ontology of sensor data, such as data description and defining data model. There remains a
considerable demand for system framework development in order to provide infrastructures to support the ontology study. Although the integration of WSNs and the Internet of Things (IoT) concept has been proposed for more than ten years, research is still in the theoretical stage. This research aims to establish a realistic experimental platform of IoT and achieving basic features by using this platform.

### 1.5 Research Objectives

This research was driven by the motivation to design, develop and implement the framework of integrating the WSN and the Internet, to enable rapid development of applications that draw upon data from multiple, heterogeneous sensor networks, and then provide a federated sensor network platform that can be used by multiple applications in a seamless and secure manner. Various types of network structures are investigated. The expected outcome of this effort is to propose general methodologies for a federated sensor network that can provide real-time and historical sensor data to feed multiple applications seamlessly from different data sources. In detail, the project objectives are:

1. Investigate relevant literature to obtain a complete understanding of the topic, and conclude the integrating methods include: front-end proxy solution, gateway solution and TCP/IP overlay solution. Within the gateway solution, there are application level gateway, Delay-Tolerant Networking based Gateway and service oriented gateway. Within the TCP/IP overlay solution, there are IP overlay network solution and Overlay sensor network solution (Chapter 3).

2. Design a centralized federated sensor network based on the front-end proxy solution for regular requirement of WSN and the Internet integration. It is required to be able to transfer sensor data to multiple applications, and minimise the modification of existing wireless sensor networks. (Chapter 4)

3. Design an enhanced architecture for logistic management of emergency
response that is able to process multiple queries with different quality of service requirements accurately and promptly. The design is based on service oriented gateway solution which is one of the gateway solutions. In the presence of high query loads, these algorithms should gracefully degrade the quality of query answers and give priority to addressing the needs of applications. (Chapter 5 and 7)

4. Design an improved federated sensor network for the localisation and tracking application (iNET project) based on IP overlay network solution which is the one within TCP/IP overlay solution. The application requires faster response and less delay for end-to-end communication. (Chapter 6)

5. Develop a testing/demonstration system based on the architectures designed. (Chapter 4, 5 and 6)

1.6 Contribution of the research

This thesis aims to discover and develop the possible frameworks for the Internet of things. We will propose various architectures that are designed by different approaches for integrating WSN and the Internet. The contribution of this thesis to knowledge consists of five parts.

1. **Centralized federated sensor network**: we propose a centralized federated sensor network that is based on the front-end proxy solution of integration between WSN and the Internet. With the introducing of system structure and the reactions between components, a detailed infrastructure and the core component named virtual coordinator has been presented, which is able to deal with multiple WSNs and feed sensor data to multiple data consumptions. A demonstration system of the architecture on two ZigBee based WSNs are used to validate the design.

2. **(Main) Distributed federated sensor network**: a distributed federated sensor network based on gateway solution of the integration has been
We have noticed that the centralized architecture design is usually developed for small and simple scenarios. As in large and complex system a centralized architecture may cause a serious delay or even system failure. So we present a distributed system which separate the data flow and query flow as a hybrid system. It is a unified and flexible system with a “DSNS” build-in, which borrows the concept of DNS on the Internet. The architecture is validated by a demonstration system.

3. (Main) 6LoWPAN based federated sensor network: it is an enhanced architecture with IPv6 enabled federated sensor network from the TCP/IP overlay integrate solution. As the previous integration solutions separate the WSN and the Internet by a gateway or a proxy server may leads to high communication delay. To solve this problem, we involved the 6LoWPAN into the system, which provides a convenient method for both external application and WSN to get direct access between each other. With IPv6 enabled sensor nodes, the system is more likely to handle multiple real-time aware applications like indoor tracking and military monitoring than the previous designs. The architecture is validated by the demonstration of an indoor tracking and localisation system.

4. Indoor tracking and localisation system: by using the 6LoWPAN enabled federated sensor network as the infrastructure of the system, we produce an implementation for the iNET project which is an indoor tracking and localisation system. It shows the feasibility of transferring the real-time data over a federated sensor network. And also implementing the fingerprinting algorithm based on WSN.

5. Sensor Modeling Language (SensorML) presentations for the three proposed architectures: The SensorML are the general models and XML encodings for sensors. We presented the system descriptions for all the three proposed FSN architectures. We also presented those three architectures by Unified Model Language (UML) sequence diagrams. This work can help for
the further development and deployment of the three proposed FSN architectures.

6. **IoT architecture design in logistic management for emergency response:** we conducted a case study of the proposed architecture in logistics management scenario for Emergency Response. By analysing the requirements of information infrastructure for a logistics management system, and discussing the implementation of a federated sensor network that integrates various WSN and the Internet, we found that the system is able to meet the requirement of providing information acquisition, information sharing and information explanation. The visibility of resources and be increased by implementing the federated sensor network. It also helps to provide the ability of faster exception management as an emergency response application.

1.7 **Organization of the Thesis**

The structure of this thesis is as follows: Chapter 2 introduces WSNs, and gives a details review of Internet of Things (IoT). Chapter 3 discusses the research challenges and approach proposed by other researchers, and concludes the three methods of integrating WSN and the Internet. Chapter 4 describes the centralized federated sensor network and proposes system analysis and testing by the demonstration. Chapter 5 presents a distributed federated sensor network architecture; the system was demonstrated. Chapter 6 presents an improved federated sensor network architecture that is 6LoWPAN enabled and a demonstration from the iNET project that applied the new architecture to evaluate the design. Chapter 7 introduces SensorML that providing models and XML Schema for describing any components and process of the three architectures. Chapter 8 introduces the system design for deployment with the IoT in a logistics supply chain management application. Chapter 9 summarizes the main contributions of the research and concludes the thesis by identify areas of future research.
Chapter 2. Wireless Sensor Networks and Internet of Things

This Chapter provides a comprehensive review of WSN and Internet of Things (IoT), and explains the basic concepts of using WSNs in IoT. A history of the development of WSNs and IoT is presented to set the context of the thesis. The integration approaches, challenges and selected applications are also introduced for WSNs in an Internet of Things.

2.1 Overview of Wireless sensor networks

2.1.1 Wireless sensor networks

A sensor network is a self-organising network composed of random distribution nodes, which include built-in sensors, a data processing unit and a communication module. Built-in sensors are used to detect phenomena such as: temperature, humidity, infrared, sonar and radar; such sensors can provide useful information for a wide variety of purposes (Tubaishat, 2003). The communication method used can be wired, wireless. However, it is generally agreed that short-range low-power wireless communication is more suitable for sensor networks. An alternative is provided by the “Smart Dust” (Warneke et al., 2001) from Berkeley, which can suspend in air like dust, avoiding
shielding by any barrier, and be used as a medium for light communication.

WSNs and traditional wireless networks (such as WLAN or cellular mobile telephone network) have different design goals. The latter optimizes the routing and resource management strategies in order to maximize the utilization of bandwidth in a highly mobile environment, as well as providing users with a certain quality of service. In wireless sensor networks, most nodes are static and cannot be moved because they are often running in hostile environments where humans cannot enter. Consequently, energy supply cannot be replaced so the design of effective strategies to extend the network life-cycle becomes the core issue of wireless sensor networks (Ren and Huang, 2003). In the initial stage of wireless sensor networks research, people thought that the Internet technology combined with Ad-hoc routing mechanisms might be sufficient for Wireless sensor network design. But in-depth studies have shown that sensor networks have significantly different technical requirements from those of general wireless networks (Estrin et al., 1999). The former is data-centric, while the latter aims on transferring data. For the compatibility of a large number of applications, the general computer based networks follow the end-to-end arguments design (Saltzer et al., 1984), which emphasizes that all the function related processes should be located in the end devices of network; the intermediate node is designed for integrated data switching. For sensor networks it is not the case. In a sensor network, the node identification information (like network address) does not know about the data from a single node. The important part is data processing; fusion and caching in the intermediate node. In an intensive sensor network, the distance between two adjacent nodes could be very short. A low-power-consumption multi-hop form of communication can save energy, enhance security and reduce interfering long-distance vulnerable wireless communications.

WSNs consist of a number of sensor nodes. They are deployed inside or very closely to the phenomenon they are investigating. Under most situations, the topologies of the WSNs do not need to be engineered or pre-determined (Caderi and Wu, 2004). This
allows WSNs to be deployed randomly, which is very important for many applications. For example, sensor nodes may be dropped from a plane to monitor a forest; it is impossible to accurately predict their landing position. This feature of random deployment also requires WSN protocols to be capable of self-organizing. Another important feature of WSNs, which is different from traditional sensor networks, is the integration of microprocessors (Vieira et al., 2003). Traditionally, the sensor nodes in a sensor network are designed to return the raw data when polled by the central controllers. Since a controller does not physically control the sensor nodes in the WSNs through a cable, the on-board microprocessor must be capable of implementing information processing and relative complex communication wirelessly. The introduction of this computation capability makes WSNs more intelligent in comparison with wired sensor networks.

![Figure 2-1 Structure of a typical wireless sensor network](image)

In Figure 2-1, a typical wireless sensor network is depicted. It includes sensor nodes, sink node, a connection to the Internet and a task manage node. Sensor nodes do not have a fixed location and most of them are randomly deployed to monitor a sensor field. Sensor nodes usually communicate with each other via an on-aboard radio system using a multi-hop approach. After primary processing, the data gathered from the sensor field is sent to a base station (sink), which is responsible for transferring data to another network. This function makes sink similar to a gateway in a traditional
network. Finally, the useful data reaches the task manager node and is available to the users (Akyildiz et al. 2002).

2.1.2 Wireless sensor nodes

Wireless sensor nodes are the basic component of wireless sensor networks. A generic sensor node hardware structure consists of several subsystems (see Figure 2-2): a microprocessor, data storage, sensors, actuators, a data transceiver, and an energy source (Benini et al., 2006).

Figure 2-2 Sensor node functional components (Benini et al., 2006)

In Figure 2-2, the “Filtering and Signal Adapting” and “Sensing Unit” components are for implementing the sensing task. Usually sensors are only sensitive to the specified content. “Filtering and Signal Adapting” can remove unwanted elements from the sensing target provided to the “Sensing Unit”. The “Interface electronics” is mainly used for converting detected sensor information into the digital form. The connected controller through a standard digital communication interface can easily read out sensor data. The “Processing Unit and Memory” and “Communication Unit” parts are
responsible for implementing local computation and establishing a communication link with an external controller that connects to the sensors. The “Power Management”, “OS and algorithm” and “Network protocols” provide the system with the necessary software support (Benini et al., 2006).

2.1.3 WSN Applications

The WSN application field can be divided into two main categories: monitoring and tracking (see Figure 2-3). Monitoring applications include indoor and outdoor environmental monitoring, health and wellness monitoring, power monitoring, inventory location monitoring, factory and process automation, and seismic and structural monitoring. Tracking applications include tracking objects, animals, humans, and vehicles. While there are many different applications, below we describe a few example applications that have been deployed and tested in the real environment. Our research is to combine different applications together to realize WSNs into IoT.

PinPtr (Simon et al., 2004) is an experimental counter-sniper system, which is developed to detect and locate shooters. The system utilizes a dense deployment of sensors to detect and measure the time of arrival of muzzle blasts and shock waves from a shot. Sensors route their measurements to a base station (e.g., a laptop or PDA) to compute the shooter’s location. Sensors in the PinPtr system are second-generation Mica2 motes connected to a multi-purpose acoustic sensor board. Middleware services developed on TinyOS that are exploited in this application include time synchronization, message routing with data aggregation, and localisation.
Wang et al. (2003) discuss methods for habitat monitoring, such as target classification by maximum cross-correlation between measured acoustic signal and reference signal, localisation using TDOA-based beam forming, and data reduction using zero-crossing rate technique. A prototype test bed consisting of iPAQs is built to evaluate the performance of those target classification and localisation methods.

Microscope of redwood (Tolle et al., 2005) is a WSN based system that monitors and records the redwood trees in Sonoma, California. Its sensor nodes are placed at different heights of the tree to measure air temperature, relative humidity, and photo-synthetically-active solar radiation. The system is designed for Plant biologists to track changes of spatial gradients in the microclimate around a redwood tree and validate their biological theories.
Health monitoring applications (Baker et al., 2007) is a WSN monitoring system for improving the existing health care and patient monitoring. The application consists of five prototype designs such as infant monitoring, alerting the deaf, blood pressure monitoring and tracking, and fire-fighter vital sign monitoring. There are two types of motes used: T-mote sky devices (Moteiv) and Intel Digital Health Group’s Sensing Health with Intelligence, Modularity, Mobility, and Experimental Re-usability (SHIMMER).

There are some key attributes in the developed WSN systems that were motivated by a target application. For example, existing systems for environmental monitoring, health monitoring, industrial monitoring, and military tracking may have application-specific characteristics and requirements. Hence, the hardware platforms and software development have been targeted at those application-specific characteristics and requirements. As the result, more experimental work is required to make these applications more reliable and robust a real-world environment, where there are often unexpected complexities that were not modelled for.

WSN is a technology that has the potential to enhance our ability monitor the world. However, there is still a gap between existing technologies and what is required for a robust business solution; this gap must be bridged by the interactions between research community and the businesses looking to develop such technologies. Learning how to apply the technology to industrial applications will not only improve the end products of the future but also opening up more problems for researchers. In our research, we also deployed our systems in some real-world applications. This is discussed in Chapter 8.

2.1.4 Design challenges

We wish to develop WSNs that are suitable for a wide range of application areas. By reviewing the characteristics of WSNs and the corresponding application areas in the previous section, the challenges for developing WSNs can be concluded as follows:
1. Limited power supply. Since the deployment of WSNs is supposed to be random and requires little or no infrastructure involvement, the power supply for driving wireless sensor nodes is mainly provided by batteries (Qi et al., 2001). This is a most important factor that seriously limits the use of WSNs. WSNs are designed to work in unattended area or, work along over a considerable long period of time as frequent battery replacement might not easily be achieved.

2. Limited effective range of the wireless communication. A battery normally powers the transmitter and receiver used by wireless sensor node. Among the typical components within a wireless sensor node, the radio transmitter consumes the most energy. Since current technology cannot provide a long-term power supply without replacing the battery, WSNs use limited transmission power as an effective way to save energy used on wireless sensor node (Cardei and Wu, 2006). Consequently, the effective transmission range of the WSN node is restricted.

3. The large number of wireless sensor nodes within WSNs. A wireless sensor network often consists of a large number of sensor nodes in order to provide an effective sensor field as required. They can easily cover a relatively wide area. This characteristic makes it impossible for users to maintain the whole network manually. A comprehensive management architecture is required to monitor the WSNs, configure network parameters and implement system updating (Wagenknecht et al., 2008).

4. Dynamic changes of the network formation. The topology of WSNs may not be static in the network area. Sensor nodes can easily die and new sensor nodes may be randomly added to the network. All of these require the sensor network to have the ability to adjust when the topology of the network is changed (Bharathidasan and Pomduru, 2003).

5. Management of data flow. In WSNs, each sensor node will generate sensory data and transfer this data to the specified task manager node for further processing. As a consequence of the characteristics of wide deployment and limited wireless communication protocols, strategic management of the distributed data flow,
query and analysis is important to sensor networks (Elnahrawy, 2003).

In this thesis, we are focused on the challenges of 3, 4 and 5.

2.2 Overview of Internet of Things (IoT)

2.2.1 Internet of Things

Developments are rapidly under way to take the wireless sensor network an important step further. Embedding short-range mobile transceivers into a wide array of additional gadgets enables new forms of human-gadget communication and gadget-gadget communication. This adds a new dimension to the world of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs); from anytime, anyplace for anyone, we will now have connectivity with anything (Figure 2-4). The Basic idea of the IoT is that virtually every physical thing in this world can also become a computer that is connected to the Internet (ITU, 2005). This definition can be considered as: “Things do not become computers or powered by computers, but they act as microcomputers in the Internet”. When they do so, people usually call them smart things, because they have certain computing resource and can do smarter than normal things. The IoT is not a new concept, the first announcement of IoT concept was introduced by Foundation of Auto-ID centre of MIT in 1999. The original definition for Internet of things is: “A network of Internet-enabled objects, together with web services that interact with these objects”. Underlying the Internet of Things are technologies such as RFID (radio frequency identification), sensors, and smartphones etc. (Gershenfeld, 1999). IoT has gained a recently gained a huge amount of interest from the general public. The main reason is that the hardware development in the last decade, like the integrated circuits manufacture process, now makes IoT feasible. The reduction in size, cost and energy consumption of electronics now allows the manufacturing of extremely small and inexpensive low-end computers (Payne and MacDonald, 2004).
The concept of the IoT is mainly driven by continuous progress in microelectronics and networking technologies in pervasive and ubiquitous computing. It is the multi-disciplinary study that involves the research in the fields of hardware, near-field communication, networking, data fusion and software engineering etc. Scientific and technical challenges require different competencies (Association Institutes Carnot, 2011):

- Technology level – challenges linked to the integration of smart ‘network enabled’ objects under strong energy and environment constraints;
- Communication and networking level – challenges linked to the massive secure, dynamic, flexible networking and the ubiquitous service provision;
- Intelligence level – challenges linked with the data fusion and service discovery where data collected by individual smart ‘network enabled’ objects such as RFID and distributed users enquire wireless sensors.
A hierarchy of different levels of technologies involved in the IoT can be identified from (Figure 2-5). The key functionalities in the technology level, to enable interaction between the “things”, are identification, sensing, storage, actuating, and interface. The interface between the real and the digital worlds requires the capacity for the digital world to sense the real world and to act on it. Technologies such as RFID, sensors and WSNs are fertilizing some specific functionality to support the IoT. However, simply equipping objects with microchips and retrieving information at a local level is insufficient. These smart ‘network enabled’ objects will become significantly more advanced than current ‘simple’ sensors, RFID or the combination of these two. They are in particular based on cheap and small wireless devices with sensing, acting, communication, and advanced signal and information processing capabilities. IP enabled technologies such as 6LOWPAN (IETF, 2007) make it possible to build low cost and reliable solutions and services (Dunkels et al., 2004) to enable the interconnection of various “things” in the IoT.

As a new technology, IoT has to face new challenges in communication and networking aspects that may be different from the existing Internet. The research roadmap from the European Commission (European Commission, 2009) deems the IoT as an integrated part of the future Internet. Some researchers tend to consider the IoT as a separate part to the Internet. Gershenfeld et al. (Gershenfeld et al., 2004) describes the IoT as an extension of the Internet to reach out to the physical world of
things and places that only can feature low-end computers, whilst Fleisch (Fleisch, 2010) argues that the IoT is not on the same level as the Internet, but it is in fact an application of the Internet like many existing Internet-enabled services. We stand on Fleisch’s point of view and aim to design and develop a service-oriented architecture based on the existing Internet for interconnecting the smart ‘network enabled’ objects contained in the IoT.

Since the concept of IoT was put forward in 2005, we see the deployment of smart ‘network enabled’ objects with communication, sensory and action capabilities for numerous applications in areas such as health care (Niyato et al., 2009; Oztekin et al., 2010; Thompson and Hagstrom, 2008), smart buildings (Darianian and Michael, 2008), social networks (Welbourne et al., 2009), environment monitoring (Ilic et al., 2009), transportation and logistics (Broll et al., 2009) etc. All applications of the IoT rely on the data collected from distributed smart ‘network enabled’ objects and the IoT information infrastructure for data transmission.

2.2.2 Design and Integration of objects

The IoT is not the replacement of the Internet as it is not on the same level as the Internet. It could be considered as an application of the Internet, just like many existing Internet-enabled services. Following this path, the structure of IoT could have the similar style as the other Internet applications. In the low level building blocks, the IoT needs to be addressed in the Internet and linked with other online applications; in the higher levels, it needs many assorted terminal applications to consume the data. As a consequence, the IoT may rightly be conceptualized as an extension of the Internet to reach out to the physical world of things and places that only can feature low-end computers (Gershenfeld et al, 2004). On the lower levels of IoT application, the data transmission method is similar to the current Internet, using DNS and TCP/IP. Additionally, the IoT imposes additional properties on the possible communication methods such as being wireless and energy efficient. Finally an Internet gateway is needed, which matches the IoT requirements of low energy consumption, low cost.
Identification and addressing progress. There are many existing IoT like projects based on IP and MAC. These protocols were originally designed for computers, not microprocessors on the node device. Therefore, energy consumption, scalability, robustness and computing resources were not important issues. To avoid these negative factors, a newly developed technology was introduced called IPv6 over Low Power Wireless Area Networks (6LoWPAN). It is an IPv6 based Internet protocol that can be implemented with small form-factor, low-power devices with limited processing capabilities. Despite this, it still takes advantage of the strong AES-128 link-layer security from IEEE 802.15.4. The aim of the 6LoWPAN is not only to improve the compatibility between small, smart devices and usual IP devices, but also to support the IP communication by 802.15.4 link layer. In an 802.15.4 frame there are only 81 bytes available for higher levels, the IPV6 header alone occupies 40 bytes. In a case where the UDP were also used, it will cost an additional 8 bytes of header, so only 33 bytes are left for the application level. It is clear that a mechanism for header compression is essential in order to use IPV6 over IEEE 802.15.4 networks. 6LoWPAN defines a compression mechanism for IPV6 header called Header Compression 1 (HC1); it is only available if the devices are already part of one 6LoWPAN network so share the network prefix. After that, there is only the “Hop Limit” field from the original IPV6 header that must always be present in the packet. So the header can be elided to two bytes: one used to encode the compression and one for the Hop Limit field. With the benefits of this, one 802.15.4 device can process the data much more efficiently with nearby devices. In the future, the 6LoWPAN could become one of the most popular ways to identify and address the sensors.

Gateway to Internet. Once the sensor devices have been identified and successfully become “online”, they can be operated and monitored by any authored application. From a simple application, there is only an Internet application with pointed IP address that needs to be served like a web based
monitor system. The gateway works much more like a Domain Name System (DNS). It translates the target domain name into a corresponding IP address. A DNS like federated sensor network server was proposed and will be introduced in chapter 5. In the realistic world, the situation could be more complex; there will be more than one data consumers and even more smart things need to be joined. In an ideal open IoT-architecture, not only can every sensor be reached by every authorized computer or person, but in addition, every person and organization can set up their own services, link them with identifiers, and offer them to the public (Fleisch, 2010). For example, a forest fire monitoring application not only provides the current data to the emergency service station, but the data could also be shared with other users such as travellers in the area. In addition, when a user requests the sensor information from that monitoring application, the IoT server may return some more related information from other application to give more options.

- The application level. The IoT is a large-scale federated network system. All the applications in it work cooperatively and share the information. All the applications of IoT should be Internet based services. Newly designed IoT applications as well as classical web application can join the IoT system. After joining, they will be reinvented and extended to the real world.

### 2.2.3 Quality of service (QoS) in IoT enabled network

In the recent years, novel technologies of the Internet continue to emerge. Billions of Internet accessible devices provide huge amounts of information for the world; they extend the Internet into most aspects of people’s lives. With the IoT coming, the Internet is now progressively evolving towards a real-time information platform rather than the original document sharing network. Nowadays, with the expansion of the information available on the Internet, Internet Service Providers (ISP) need to dimension the core network and trunk lines given to the subscriber and need to use resource reservation control mechanisms rather than the achieved service quality.
Currently, they still cannot afford the excessive amounts of capacity. So an IoT optimized efficient Quality of Service (QoS) solution is needed. It is required to be capable of carrying multiple flows and different services, which have already been connected to all kinds of equipment from all around the world. With a minimum set of properties guaranteed by the QoS, such as throughput, maximum delay, jitter and loss rate, it would allow ISPs to support the IoT services with high quality.

The IoT is a complex and shared system consisting of a plethora of applications, network equipment and resources. Lots of components are constrained in terms of computation, communication and energy. The QoS of IoT needs to be across multiple dimensions. The first dimension is the nature of the stakeholders, which include applications, resource providers and the network upon which the devices are connected to. The second is the nature of competing applications in the IoT because multiple applications must coexist. The last one is the nature of constraints that must be considered, such as network characteristics, device properties, environment attributes and application requirements (Fok et al., 2011).

There are several existing QoS solutions that can be adopted in IoT applications. Network-Sensitive Service Discovery observed the differences between performing user-side resource selection and provider-side resource selection by integrating network-sensitivity into the service discovery process. It allows users who are looking for services to specify both the desired functional and network properties at the same time. Users’ benefit since they only have to solve a restricted version of the server selection problem (Huang and Steenkiste, 2004). There is a middleware supporting multi-dimensional QoS and efficient service selection algorithm that provides the appropriate ground for QoS-aware composition in dynamic service environments (Mabrouk et al., 2009). It focuses on optimizing the overall QoS provided by a composition of service endpoints.

In order to respond to network dynamics, the QoS in IoT should be provided by dynamically adjusted. The application's requirements of an interaction, the
requirements of resource providers and the nodes must all be considered. A multi-dimensional QoS will enable expressive and adaptive framework for supporting multi-hop, opportunistic interactions in the IoT.

### 2.2.4 Identification, sensing and communication

The Internet of Things is a technological revolution that represents the future of computing and communications and its development depends on dynamic technical innovation in a number of important fields, from wireless sensors to nanotechnology.

![Figure 2-6 Miniaturization towards the Internet of Things (ITU, 2005)](image)

First, Radio-frequency identification (RFID) is a simple, unobtrusive and cost-effective system to identify the object and devices to large databases and networks or the network of networks (the internet). Secondly, by using sensor technologies, all the collected data contain the physical status of things. Things with microprocessor embedded can further enhance the power of the network by devolving processing capabilities to the edges of the network. Finally, miniaturization and nanotechnology allow smaller devices have intractability and connectivity (Figure 2-6). A combination of all of these developments will build an Internet of Things that connects the world’s objects in both a sensory and an intelligent manner (ITU, 2005).

RFID technology, which is used to identify physical things with radio waves, is
considered as one of the key enablers of the Internet of Things. Although it has sometimes been seen as the successor of bar codes, RFID systems offer much more. Compared to the other Auto-ID technologies, the RFID system has its own features: instead of typing or scanning the identification code manually, the RFID systems typically provide us a non-contact data transfer between the tag and the interrogator without the need for obstacle-free, line-of-sight reading; tag information can be rewritable and the tag itself can be recycle and reused; multiple tags can be read simultaneously by a RFID reader, known as the batch readability of tags, which makes the identification work much more efficient.

Embedded intelligence in things themselves will distribute processing power to the edges of the network, offering greater possibilities for data processing and increasing the resilience of the network (ITU, 2005). There is no definition of how to classify smart things, but we can consider them as physical items with the ability of low-weight processing and network connection. Nowadays, they are mainly focusing on the areas of smart homes, smart vehicles and personal smart things such as the “Google Glass” and “MYO armband”. In future, more and more things will become smart enabled, such as smart oven, smart refrigerator, even smart power socket (Figure 2-7). In such scenarios, the Internet is considered as a carrier of all the smart objects to provide a fully interactive and responsive network environment.
2.2.5 Applications of IoT

A huge number of novel applications can be built with the support of IoT; many of these will improve the quality of our lives. Most of the things around us do not have communication capabilities. Once these objects are given the ability to communicate with each other and to process the information received from nearby smart things, a large scale of applications can be deployed in areas like transportation and logistics, healthcare, home, office and the wild world. An introduction of these futuristic applications is given below.

Logistics – With the support of RFID technology, real-time monitoring of the entire supply chain is possible, even to obtain the product-related information. With this benefit, logistics enterprises can easily and quickly adjust the supply chain in a response to changes in the markets. The reaction time of traditional enterprises was reported to be 120 days from requirements of customers to the supply. However, the advanced companies like Wal-mart and Metro, which have already deployed some of the sensors and RFID technology, can do this in just few days and work with zero safety stock (Yuan et al., 2007).
Driving assistant – the roads and the rails with sensors and tags will be installed. The on-vehicle computer can capture the information about this area for better navigation and safety once a vehicle is in range. For example the speed limitation of the current road maybe vary but the driver may not notice the road sign, say due to sight being obstructed by a high vehicle like a lorry. With the interaction traffic system, drivers may easily obtain the information around their current location. Meanwhile, road-side devices can receive the data from nearby vehicles and report the live traffic status to the control station and the other vehicles that are going to pass this road. Enterprises such as Logistic Companies would be able to locate the delivery vehicle, either to perform more effective route optimization, which allows energy savings, and also be provided important information about the delivery time, delays and unexpected situations. This information can be also combined with the status of the warehouses in order to adjust the logistic process automatically.

Healthcare – With the benefits of IoT technologies, the healthcare could become much easier, faster and more efficient with fewer mistakes. With identification on each patient, it will help reduce incidents such as the wrong drug being used and to provide a comprehensive medical record. With sensor devices on patients such as WSN based heterogeneous bio-signal sensors, medics can easily monitoring the patients’ conditions by the health indicators on the patients. It could include different telemedicine solutions to monitor the patient compliance. The system also can provide the function of real-time position tracking. It aims to locate the patient during emergencies such as falling and Disease progression, even to locate and alert the nearest medics with the status of that patient.

Living environment – A smart living environment can make people’s life easier and more comfortable. With sensors and actuators installed in the house, the intelligent system can automatic make adjustments in response to changes in environmental indicators: to adapted the air conditioning to user’s preferences and the external weather; to change lighting according to the current level of ambient light; to avoid
domestic incidents using an intelligent alarm and monitoring system; and to save money on energy by automatically switching off the unneeded electrical equipment. In addition, users can get a hybrid viewing of their house and receive a text message when any unexpected events happen.

2.3 Discussion

This chapter has provided an overview of Internet of Things and Wireless sensor network. The basic idea of WSNs is to collect environment information by employing distributed sensor nodes and enable the achievement of “ubiquitous computation”. It also examines the technologies that will drive the emerging IoT, including 6LoWPAN, QoS for PAN, radio-frequency identification (RFID), sensor technologies, smart things, nanotechnology and miniaturization. Also, the detailed developments of RFID and sensor networks have been investigated, followed by an introduction of applications by the support of IoT. The technologies mentioned above are the basic components in further FSN system design.
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The structure of this chapter is as follows: Section 1 provides a brief introduction to the motivation for the integration of Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) and the Internet. In section 2, we review the existing solutions for integrating WSNs and the Internet, and how they could be integrated as part of Internet. Section 3 analyses the infrastructural issues in general. Section 4 introduces the major challenges that may hinder this integration process, showing both the sensor network issues and the integration-specific issues. Finally, Section 5 concludes this chapter.

3.1 Introduction

In the upcoming Internet of Things (IoT), the everyday objects are all potential actors of the Internet, objects that can produce and consume information. As we have previously mentioned, the elements of the IoT will include not only devices that are currently connected to the internet, such as computers and smart phones, but also include items such as clothes, food and even living beings. If a computer system needs to obtain data from a certain environment, one of the tools may be used in wireless sensor networks. WSNs have great potential for many applications that we
introduced in the previous chapter. As WSNs become more numerous and their data more valuable, it becomes increasingly important to have some common means to share data over the Internet (Reddy et al., 2007). Since WSNs can be easily organized into different environments to monitor or track target objects for knowledge discovery or information collection, they are an essential infrastructure for ubiquitous computer systems (Zheng et al., 2007). Additionally, WSNs have also been considered in Ambient Networks (Niebert et al., 2004). For all these reasons, integrating WSNs with the Internet has become increasingly desirable and necessary.

3.2 Existing solutions for Integrating WSN and the Internet

Most of the existing proposed solutions that combining the WSN and the Internet aim at integrating the networks through the mapping of protocol stacks and logical address formats used in both networks.

The sensor network is like a living body that needs a brain to react to the information coming from the physical world. That means it needs to interact with an external system in order to be useful. The simplest sensor network deployment consists of a group of sensor nodes and a base station. A computer system or a human being will collect the data from the base station. It will make use of the information coming from the sensor nodes. For example, the manager will inspect the location of targets using the collected data from the base station.

In order to improve the accessibility and usability of the services, the services should be easily accessible from external networks. Communication to the sensor nodes either via the base station or a direct connection is needed to enable the flow of information generated by the sensor network to be accessed and analysed by different applications controlled by aboard users. The sensor network can be operated and controlled remotely, without physically accessing deployment field. This will improve
the sharing of information and realize the real Internet of things. Recent research in the field of wireless sensor network include SensorWeb (Liang and Tao, 2005), Wireless Sensor and Actor Network (Akyildiz and Kasmoglu, 2004), ocean sensor network (Akyildiz, Pompili and Melodia, 2004), ZigBee technologies (Akyildiz, Pompili and Melodia, 2004) for low power communication, memory management mechanisms, various routing technologies, and other problems in the Ad-Hoc networking. However, the data obtained from local wireless sensor networks are forwarded and processed in the server systems (sink node or base station), then passed to the client via the Web application uses an intermediary (such as SQL). Therefore, in the future ubiquitous and user environment, sensor nodes in WSNs should have a standard identifier and have direct access to attribute information of specific sensor nodes in order to enable use from unique URI in Web services.

Figure 3-1 Classification of integrated approaches

For these purposes, the researches in the wireless sensor networks has mainly focused on routing protocol, MAC protocol, location tracking, and Internet applications that process and use the sensor data for future ubiquitous computing. The studies (Dunkels, 2004; Dai, 2004), which are related to Internetworking between the WSNs and the
Internet, have been done by lots of research groups. According to the literatures (Roman and Lopez, 2004; Kim, et al., 2008; Kosanovic and Stojcev, 2011), these works can be divided into three fields (see Figure 3-1). One is front-end proxy solution, which performs the connection by a middleware proxy and there is no direct connection between WSN and the Internet. The second is a gateway solution that is deployed by a gateway located between the wireless sensor networks and the Internet. The final field is TCP/IP overlay solution that is performed by an overlay network constructed on either the wireless sensor networks or the Internet.

3.2.1 Front-End Proxy Solution

In the Front-End Proxy solution, the external Internet hosts and the sensor nodes never communicate with each other directly. The simile can be applied to this solution: the base station likes a supermarket between the farm and the customers. It acts as an interface between the data acquisition network (sensor network) and the data dissemination network (the Internet). In Figure 3-2, we can see the base station collect and store all the information from the sensor nodes. There is no direct connection between the Internet and the sensor nodes: the base station will process all the incoming and outgoing information.

![Figure 3-2 Front-end Proxy solution](image-url)
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As the WSN is not connected to the Internet, it should be deployed with its own protocols and algorithms, such as WirelessHART (HART website, 2010), which is a very early open wireless communication standard for process measurement systems. In the physical layer, the deployment is based on IEEE 802.15.4. On the top of that layer, the solution defines a time-synchronized MAC layer. In the network layer, the proxy server adapts self-organising and self-healing mesh networking techniques. Hence, messages can be transmitted around interferences and obstacles. This solution also distinguishes itself from other public standards by maintaining a central network manager to keep up-to-date routes and communication schedules to guarantee the network performance.

A centralized device (such as base station) will manage all connections between the outside world and the sensor network. This base station can store all the data streams to external entities through a well-known interface. An example is SenseWeb (Kansal, Nath and Zhao, 2007) that provides a common platform and a set of tools to enable data owners to easily publish their data whilst also enabling users to make useful queries on the live data. In addition, any queries coming from the Internet host will always traverse. In Chapter 4, we will present a system called a centralized federated sensor network for using the idea of front-end proxy solution.

3.2.2 Gateway Solution

The gateway solution operates a gateway between the wireless sensor networks and the Internet. It can be classified in three fields: application-level gateway, and DTN (Delay-Tolerant Networking)-based gateway (Dunkels et al., 2004) and Service-oriented gateway.

- Application-level gateway

The application-level gateway is a simple gateway-based approach that works in the application layer (Marco and Bhaskar, 2003). Its main purpose is to enable protocol
transformation. This method is easy to implement, has low deployment cost, and allows the Internet to work efficiently on heterogeneous networks because isolated operation between the WSNs and Internet is possible (Zuniga and Krishamachari, 2003). In Figure 3-3, we can see the base station acts as an application layer gateway in charge of translating the lower layer protocols from both networks. As a result, the information can be directly exchanged between sensor nodes and the Internet hosts. In this approach, the WSN still has some infrastructural independence; a translation table is required to map the WSN address to IP addresses. Some web service solutions such as TinyREST (Luckenbach et al., 2005) take advantages of this approach.

![Figure 3-3 Application-level gateway solution](image)

- **Delay-Tolerant Networking based gateway**

The Delay-Tolerant Networking (DTN) is defined as a network constructed with regional networks. Here, region implies a network that employs same technology. So, the DTN-based gateway internetworks between the regional networks that employ the same technology using application-level gateway. This method controls the delay time, transforms the protocol efficiently and provides the interoperability between the regional networks. Figure 3-4 shows that the DTN-based gateway supports massage exchanges between different networks via a bundle layer (Fall, 2003). The base station is able to store and forward packets between the networks. In this case, if the
link between the base station and the sensor node is broken, the packet is not transmitted and is stored for future forwarding (Kosanovic and Stojcev, 2007).

**Figure 3-4 DTN gateway solution**

In the DTN architecture, the communication in it is facing unpredictable delays and potentially high bit-error rates. The interconnection mechanism between the Internet and WSN is provided by overlaying the DTN on the top of the Internet. A DTN gateway node is located between those two networks and provides general mechanisms and interface for a large number of queries.

- **Service-oriented gateway**

  Service-oriented gateway is to separate the complicated query management between WSN and the Internet from the gateway. It can provide a quick user response. There are some service-oriented solutions that enable the integration of Internet and WSNs. A reflective- and service- oriented middleware for WSN is proposed in (Shi, 2005), where three roles (Application Agent, Register Agent and Resource Manager) are defined in the service-oriented model architecture. In (Priyantha, 2008), the service is implemented directly on a single sensor node and the sensor node is considered as (web) service providers. They consider the WSN as a service provider rather than a service consumer. Their model aims to provide an interoperate mechanism between
the services from both networks, which is supplied by a sensor node from WSN or by a host from the Internet. The process is in a uniform and transparent irrespective of the client or the service location. In Chapter 5, our research (distributed federated sensor network) is focused on the service-oriented gateway solution.

### 3.2.3 TCP/IP Overlay Solution

From the previous solutions, we can see there some drawbacks. For example, all the communications around the WSN may be suspended if the gateway or proxy fails. The previous proxy solution and the gateway solution need to be equipped with a stable storage component to save the sensor data and a component with powerful processing capability to process the sensor data and manage queries. By doing this, it may make the gateway physically larger than the sensor nodes, reducing its life-time and increasing the difficulty of designing an integrated architecture. This is because the sensor data is logically far away from the users in the Internet.

In the TCP/IP overlay Solution, sensor nodes communicate with other nodes by using TCP/IP or a similar protocol. Therefore, the base station behaves as a router in the Ethernet that forwards the packets between the sensor nodes. We classified two fields in this approach: IP overlay network and overlay sensor networks.

- **IP overlay network**

  The first approach points out that it is possible to an implement TCP/IP protocol stack on a microcomputer system with very poor resources, 8-bit microprocessor with only 2kB RAM memory has been demonstrated (Dunkels, 2005). This was (Dunkels, 2004) based on a overlaying-based integration structure that can send and receive the data via IP packets after implementing the IP protocol and assigning the IP address to the sensor nodes on wireless sensor networks (see Figure 3-5). Therefore, this method has two hot issues. Firstly, how is the IP address assigned to the sensor node? Secondly, how to mix the address-based and data-based routing efficiently according to network
traffic? Recently, using the location of the sensor node was introduced in IP address assignment and the Directed Diffusion and ACQUIRE were proposed in routing protocol to address these two important issues.

Figure 3-5 IP overlay network solution

One example is 6LoWPAN, which provides a transmission method for the IPv6 packet over LoWPAN. Its routing mechanism is limited by the available cyclic sleep, low overhead, small size routing table, and extended by inserting the IP and TCP/UDP environments over MAC/PHY layer. As a result, 6LoWPAN reuses verified legacy technologies, interchange of the information and collaboration to non-IETF Corporation like ZigBee Alliance. Currently, 6LoWPAN uses verified and well-known IP technologies, has an outlook that can use the legacy network infrastructure to avoid additional cost, but cannot adopt IPv6 on ZigBee because it requires more memory (64K), incurs high costs, and is difficult to implement (IETF website, 2013). In chapter 6, we will improve the 6LoWPAN solution and implement it in an iNet tracking and localisation system.

- **Overlay sensor network**

In contrast, an overlay sensor networks (Dai and Han, 2004) is an overlaying-based integration. It combines the sensor networks with the Internet and extends the data centric routing on the sensor networks to the application-level overlay sensor
networks on the Internet. In the meantime, all the data collected from the sensor networks is forwarded to the host on the Internet once the payload of the IP packet is encapsulated on the gateway. This approach is easy to implement and interconnect via programs on the host as the sensor data has been combined with an Internet protocol message. The major components include the virtual nodes running over the Internet and the overlay gateway (see Figure 3-6). A virtual node is defined as any entity that communicates with peer entities on a real sensor network through a common set of protocols, network layer and above. The sensor overlay permits a rich and versatile environment for the interconnection of virtual nodes, which collectively from a virtual sensor network. The virtual sensor node also can interpret WSN packets since it has installed the WSN protocol stack in addition to TCP/IP stack.

Figure 3-6 Overlay sensor network solution

This approach can be identified as: header overhead, high bit error rates, high energy consumption for end-to-end multi-hop retransmission, differences in routing protocols and implement of addressing and routing schemes (Lei et al., 2006).

Currently, it is widely assumed that future deployments should be based on IPv6 (Domingues et al., 2007). However, there are solutions using both IPv4 (Braun et al., 2003) and IPv6 (Montenegro et al., 2007) for sensor nodes. Even more forward looking, people are doing research on motes to give them the ability of providing web
services by reporting its interface using the Web Service Description Language (WSDL) and connecting to other hosts using HTTP (Priyantha et al., 2008).

3.3 **Infrastructural issues for integrated approach**

The advantages of integrating WSN with the Internet are obvious, but there is no exact answer to whether sensor nodes should be completely integrated inside the Internet or should be maintained independency as part of a cloud of “adjacent” networks. Different solutions are suitable for the requirements of specify applications. There are some infrastructural issues that have to be considered when deploying WSNs that are connected to the Internet:

- **Access Point**

To have multiple WSNs connected to the Internet, an access point should have multiple wireless/wired interfaces. The wireless interface could be a multiple access wireless technology such as the IEEE 802.11. Throughout the experimental phase of our research, several wired interfaces were used, i.e., Ethernet and variable-speed serial connections.

- **Application**

Users on the Internet run applications that query the WSNs. Applications on the Internet receive the collected data from WSNs for analysis and intelligence gathering. In the literature (Su, 2008), the term sensor-application is used to describe those applications running on the Internet to serve WSNs. They are located on different hosts and each host could have more than one sensor-application; there can be an infinite number of sensor-applications on the Internet and there is no previous knowledge of the available WSNs initially. In this scenario, once a sensor-application first starts up, it sends a registration request to a registration service manager model and obtains a list of valid WSN candidates.
A sensor-application may maintain a certain priority level to describe the importance of the application. The priority information is used to provide appropriate quality of service (QoS) for sensor-applications.

- **Addressing**

In the front-end proxy solution, it is necessary to provide functionality in the base station interface (e.g. as a web service) to send a message to a specific sensor node. This is not the case of the other solutions, where a sensor node is allocated with a specific IP addresses. But, it is not clear how IP addresses can be used in sensor networks. The identification of a single node can either use location information or data-centric protocols.

- **Protocol**

It is possible to integrate a sensor network inside the Internet by achieving interoperability at the network and application layers using TCP/IP or web services respectively. In addition, a unified standard protocol is also beneficial for achieving interoperability between different service providers. On the other hand, it is necessary to use specific protocols to meet the requirements of some special applications in the scenarios where the WSN is deployed; they must react adequately and optimally to application-specific problems.

- **Data Availability**

When a sensor node is offline, it cannot provide any service, such as real time data streams from its sensors or report the data over critical value. However, if it is located in a proxy or a gateway, there can be specific network mechanisms that allow the provisioning of data regardless of the state of the node (e.g. by measuring data from nodes that are in the same context rather than the broken node).

- **Network-specific issues**
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A WSN always has specific features because of their sensing purpose that are different from other network paradigms. In general, its nodes are battery-powered; in order to save energy to extend the life cycle, the data rate of the wireless channel is very low (i.e. 250kbps in the IEEE 802.15.4 standard). Therefore, both the WSN protocols and the applications that use its services should take this into account.

3.4 Challenges for integrating WSN and the Internet

The previous section described the infrastructural issues for integration, which involves assigning additional responsibilities to sensor nodes in addition to their usual sensing functionality. To highlight and discuss the challenges emerging from such novel responsibility assignment, we selected three main potential tasks that the sensor nodes would have to accomplish: security, Quality of Service (QoS) management, and network configuration.

- Security

Security is one of the important challenges for opening WSNs to the Internet. The current identified attack requires a physical structure close to the target WSN to capture or to deploy malicious nodes. Integrating WSNs with the Internet will mean the location does not limit the attack any more. Attackers may threaten WSNs from anywhere on the Internet. In addition to this location diversity, there may be some new threats, like malware, introduced by the Internet connection. The most current WSNs are protected by a central or unique gateway for protection against Internet threats. Because of the characteristics of WSNs, the existing security mechanisms cannot be reused directly because of the limited energy, memory and computational ability of the sensor nodes. For example, common Mica2 motes offer 7.3 MHz 8-bit micro-controllers with 128 Kbytes of reprogrammable flash memory, 4 Kbytes of RAM and 4 Kbytes of EEPROM. In current research, many services on the Internet make use of cryptography with large key lengths such as RSA-1024. This is not
suitable for sensor nodes in WSNs. Consequently, the new security mechanisms are required to protect WSNs from attacks over the Internet.

- **Quality of Service**

Sensor nodes are expected to contribute to QoS management by optimizing resource utilization for different devices on the WSNs as a part of IoT. Due to differences in the devices, we have considered new perspectives for the workload distribution. In fact, resource heterogeneity may be deployed to share the current workload between nodes that offer the active resources. In order to improve the QoS, collaborative work is required to support different functionality, such as security mechanisms. However, the existing techniques for QoS in the Internet may not be suitable for WSNs. For example, any sudden changes may require a significant reconfiguration for WSNs in the connection. Hence, the novel approaches must focus on ensuring delay and loss guarantees.

- **Configuration**

In addition to security and QoS management, sensor nodes are able to provide help for WSN configuration, which includes transferring different protocol and supporting different tasks, such as address administration to ensure the network constructions and ensuring self-organising or self-configuration capabilities for WSNs. Self-organising participating nodes is not a common feature in the Internet but is one of important characteristics in WSNs. In our research, we will focus on the challenge of realising the unattended operation of autonomous sensor nodes for different applications on the Internet.

### 3.5 Discussion

In this chapter, we discussed how to integrate WSNs into the IoT by dividing the existing approaches in three fields: front-end proxy solution, gateway solution and TCP/IP solution. All three solutions each have their own unique strengths and features
and are suitable for different types of applications. For example, the front-end proxy solution is more suitable for centralised management, where the system functionality will be restricted by central device failures. The gateway solution and TCP/IP solution are more like a distributed system, or even a mixed system, so they are resilient to single device failures. In front-end proxy solution, the system can store and forward the valuable data when a node is not available. The gateway solution can improve the redundancy of the network by having multiple gateways. The nodes in TCP/IP solution are Internet accessible, so they are not affected by the failure of any single point. However, TCP/IP is the weakest structure of these three solutions because they are most vulnerable to attack from external networks. We introduced the infrastructural issues for the integrated approach, which involved assigning and discussing the challenges emerging from novel responsibility assignment, namely security and QoS management, and network configuration. We will design and configure different improved architectures for these three solutions and implement them in different applications in the following chapters.
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As described in previous Chapters, our investigation of the integration of various WSNs starts from the bottom of the requirements hierarchy, which is the centralized federated sensor network system. In this chapter we will discuss the possible implementation of integrating different WSNs, which will lead to the architectures for the centralized federated sensor network. After that, we will present the five components of the system. They are Sensor networks, Sensor Proxy, Virtual coordinator, Data processor and Application. This is followed by the details of the components, the reactions and the combinations. The system demonstration is PC based, instead of self-developed PCB hardware, and the Jennic 5139 development kit is chose for the WSN component.

4.1 Background and motivation

With the popularity of wireless sensor network (WSN), several applications have been developed by civilian and business as well as by military users (Ren, et al. 2003). Each WSN is independent from all others so the data collected by one can only be analysed and used by a single application. This feature limits the availability and
usability of such sensor data. In addition, sensor data is often meaningless unless it is associated with other data such as time, such as an absolute position. Unfortunately, previous designs do not considered situations where several WSNs work together. Therefore, a system that combines multiple sensor networks for collaborative working is required. We call such collaboration a Federated Sensor Network (FSN).

4.1.1 Federated Sensor Networks

A federated sensor network might contains a hundred thousand sensor nodes running different applications in parallel, such as a city wide collaborative sensor network that consist of a huge number of sensor nodes with a variety of sensor devices (magnetometers, temperature, pollution, air pressure, cameras), providing input into a large spectrum of diverse applications. A reliable quality of service should be provided to allocate bandwidth and computation resource.

A. Uniting vastly different devices and services

Despite great variety in the type of data gathered and complexity of nodes within a FSN, the multiple sensor networks within it must act as if it was a single system. However, the inter-changeability of nodes might be limited because the intermediate network nodes, responsible for processing and relaying data between sensors and applications, may also be heterogeneous. For instance, a medical monitoring scenario may be tied together with multiple wireless sensor, DPAs, laptops, a necessarily reliable, secure routing network, and legacy hospital systems (Ledlie et al., 2004). This diversity presents problems of interfaces. There are several research focused on naming, lookup and interfaces has come from Smart Grid (Foster et al., 2002) and ubiquitous computing (Arnstein et al., 2002) research. Existing protocols that require point-to-point communication, significant translation processing and large payloads may prove heavyweight data transmission (Ledlie et al., 2004) because applications will need to reach the base station.

B. Functioning continuously despite intermittent connectivity
A data gathering network should be robust against intermittent connectivity. Network devices and services should be able to disconnect and reconnect seamlessly. By considering partitions within the network and planning for disconnection scenarios, the network infrastructure can be optimized for these situations.

C. Placement of in-network services constrained by Device and Network

Data gathered by a sensor is transmitted through a network to some application. This process can be improved by including some in-network processing, however placing operators and optimizing a system while adhering to device constraints (e.g. efficiency, access control rights, etc.) poses a challenge.

D. System-wide security

Many of the motivating applications have stringent security requirements like authentication, access control and encryption. A unified threat model must be established along with a full analysis of weak points within the network. Many new attack points may develop where separate sensor networks connect to a larger network. A general approach is not viable; each application must be considered in its entirety for a realistic security solution.

E. Power to draw inferences both within and between sensor networks

A powerful tool that could be made available through the use of multiple sensor networks is the ability for separate sensor networks to cross-correlate data. This would help in preventing false positives by combining information gathered from similar networks where sensor sensitivity differs in orders of magnitude

4.1.2 Existing Solutions

The application scenarios of data collection networks rely on sensor networks, middleware, distributed query processing, and the work of many other self-contained research disciplines. The following are the related work in these areas.
Hourglass

Hourglass (Shneidman et al., 2004) is an internet-based data collection network for connecting multiple heterogeneous sensor networks together with services and applications in a robust fashion. An example of an Hourglass system is given in Figure 4-1. Data flow in Hourglass is based on “circuit”, it is a data path through the system to ensure that an application receives the data in which it is interested. A circuit includes intermediate services that perform operations on the data. Services are organized into distinct service providers that capture a single administrative domain. Each service provider includes a circuit manager, which is responsible for the set-up and management of circuits, and a registry, which aids service discovery. Hourglass services have well-specified interfaces that are used to communicate with other services, the circuit manager, and the registry for circuit establishment, data routing, circuit disconnection, and service discovery. An existing entity can join an Hourglass system by implementing the core functionality required by these interfaces. Sensor networks and applications may join the Hourglass system through proxy services in order to avoid the cost of running an Hourglass service natively.

SenseWeb

Some of the existing solutions use a data bridge in the WSNs to collect and transmit
sensor data. An example is the SenseWeb (Santanche et al., 2005) from Microsoft Research, which aims to provide a common platform and a set of tools to enable data owners to easily publish their data while also enabling users to make useful queries on the live data. The SenseWeb platform transparently provides mechanisms to archive and index data, to process queries, to aggregate and present results on geo-centric web interfaces such as MSN Virtual Earth, etc. SenseWeb will collect data from sensors (which could be cameras, thermometers, theatre booking computers etc.). These devices may be either placed permanently at some locations or could be individually consumer devices. The data (images and information) will be pushed to a central database for indexing and making it searchable. Then, the online mapping system could provide particular pieces of information, from which a user could pick and choose the location.

![SenseWeb Architecture](image)

**Figure 4-2 SenseWeb Architecture (Santanche et al., 2005)**

SenseWeb is facing to general Microsoft LIVE users, and using Microsoft Bing MAP as a sensor data searching result presenter. Its deficiencies include the lack of provision of any remote control mechanism. Therefore, users can only access sensor data from the SenseWeb service, which means there is more limitation on that service.

**Semantic Sensor Web**

The SSW is a framework for providing enhanced meaning for sensor observations so
as to enable situation awareness. It enhances meaning by adding semantic annotations to existing standard sensor languages of the Sensor Web Enablement (SWE). This was developed by OGC and aims to solve the problems about lack of standardization for sensor data. These annotations provide more meaningful descriptions and enhanced access to sensor data than SWE alone, and they act as a linking mechanism to bridge the gap between the primarily syntactic XML-based metadata standards of SWE and the Resource Description Framework (RDF) or Web Ontology Language (OWL) – based metadata standards of the Semantic Web. In association with semantic annotation, ontologies and rules play an important role in SSW for interoperability, analysis, and reasoning over heterogeneous multimodal sensor data (Sheth et al., 2008).

An ontology is a formal representation of a domain, composed of concepts and named relationships. On a broad level, we can classify ontologies along the three types of semantics associated with sensor data — spatial, temporal, and thematic — in addition to ontological models representing the sensor domain. The SSW envisions a registry of domain-specific ontologies, which is similar to the Open Biomedical Ontologies at the National Centre for Biomedical Ontologies (www.bioontology.org). Figure 4-3 shows a subset of concepts and their relations from a suite of ontologies in SSW, modelling the weather domain.

![Figure 4-3 Concepts and relations in Semantic Sensor Web (Sheth et al., 2008)](image_url)
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4.2 Centralized Federated Sensor Network

‘Centralized’ is one of the common architectures in network topology. It is a type of network where all the components connect to a central server, which is the acting agent for all communications. The centralized server must store all the components’ account information. This kind of structure is popular in the instant messaging platform area. It is also named Centralized Server Structure. As described in the previous review chapter, the approaches for integrating the WSN and the Internet can be divided into three types, which are: Front-End Proxy Solution, Gateway Solution and TCP/IP Overlay Solution. In a Front-End Proxy solution, there is a base station serves as an interface between the sensor networks and the Internet. The base station collects and stores all the information coming from the sensor networks, and sends back the control command to the sensor nodes. There is no direct connection between the Internet and a sensor network, which means all the incoming and outgoing data will be parsed by the base station. As the sensor network is completely independent from the Internet, it keeps all the existing protocols and algorithms.

In this chapter, we will present a FSN, which is the combination with Centralized Server Structure, named centralized federated sensor network. The idea is from the Front-End Proxy solution of the integration for WSN and the Internet. From the IoT concept, a centralized federated sensor network can be considered as part of the IoT architecture. A centralized network is more suitable for small scale network deployment, which can later join the future IoT system as a component.

4.3 System Description

A federated sensor network is a unified system to collect, share, process and query sensor data from authorized sharing sensor networks. It aims to provide an architecture which common sensor networks can easily join and share the sensor data without requiring any modification to the existing sensor network, just like “plug and
play”. It is based on the Independent network, which is the first approach of connecting WSNs to the Internet described in Chapter 3. It involves connecting both the independent WSN and the Internet through a single proxy server. According to this approach, this system can be cheap to deploy and easy to maintain as there is only one path connected to the outside network. In this system, all the sensor networks connect to the upper layer by one attached Data Node device, which acts as a sink node in the WSN. Introducing this component allows the system to have better compatibility to different kinds of sensor networks. The system has a layered architecture as shown in Figure 4-4. The components can be divided into five parts:

- **Sensor Networks**

  All types of sensor network will be accepted including wireless sensor network and static wired sensor network. There will be a data processing component called the Data Node to enable the existing sensor networks to export sensor data and provide communication with the upper layer (Sensor Proxy). The Data Node is a device attached to a WSN, which collects sensor data and forwards it to the server or the backup database. The data collection node is designed to be compatible with common WSNs, such as the ZigBee stack and the IEEE802.15.4 stack, and can be switched by the embedded software. To get the compatibility with different types of WSNs, the RF module of the Data Node must be able to handle both wireless transmission standards; a good example is the XBee/XBee Pro from MaxStream, Inc.. In addition, the existing sensor network can joins the system automatically without any modification needed from the benefit of Data Node.
The sensing data are provided by many kinds of the sensor network that can measure various environment variables. They may be static if installed in buildings and roadside, or be mobile, if carried by humans or vehicles. Sensor networks may be based on many different platforms with different interfaces for accessing. Low powered wireless sensor nodes may communicate via the IEEE 802.15.4/ZigBee standard. Some sensor networks may have standard power supplies to obtain higher bandwidth, reliability and life cycles, even their interfaces may be variable. To solve the problem
of network complexity, the Sensor Proxy has been introduced. It provides a universal interface to all types of sensor networks and implements specific methods to communicate with each sensor network. The upper layer components access the Proxy for sensor data, data transmission query and sensor network operation by using a specific API. As a proxy server of sensor network, the only way to connect to the inside network is to go through it. Therefore, all the under sensor network layer traffic must reach the upper layer (Virtual Coordinator) before returning. This is good for monitoring the system processes to avoid any unauthorized access.

- **Virtual Coordinator**

The Virtual Coordinator is the central access point for all applications and sensors in the system. A sensor network connects to the Virtual Coordinator to register its own feature for further indexing by users. The sensor data will be fed to the applications that have stored their interests. Users are able to login to the system and pick up their desired sensor data. There are three modules included in the Virtual Coordinator: User Manager, Sensor Manager, and Application Manager.

1) The application manager serves as a middle data access layer between sensors (Sensor Proxy) and the upper layer (applications). It manages applications that are going to be, or already are, data consumers in the system. When a new application wishes to join the system and sends a query to the application manager, it records useful details like type, IP, location, register time and data interesting. It also provides a searching scheme to locate the application that has resisted interest once the sensor network detects something and sends an alert. In the meantime, users are able to create a profile of the sensor data they are interested in and set critical value so that the system will notice them when their interested data is captured.

2) The user manager implements user authentication mechanisms. For security, the system only allows registered users to access the sensor data. The user needs to authenticate by providing a user name and password. Then the user manager will
generate a unique identify code that will expire after a certain period of time for
the encryption module.

3) The sensor manager provides an index service for all available sensors and their
characteristics. It works similar to a Domain Name Server (DNS) on the Internet,
translating informal sensor descriptions, such as sensor types, location and logic
names, into physical sensor identifiers and provides an index for the upper layers.
Furthermore, it provides a service operation for registering new sensor networks.
In addition, it provides a means of modifying the characteristics of registered
sensor networks as well as methods for the removal of previously registered
sensor networks.

Data processor

Data Processor is the part to enhance the federated Sensor Network and is the
component that deals with the sensor data directly. It contains three modules:

1) A classifier is an enhanced part of the Application Manager. The classifier
classifies the sensor type by using the indexing service of the Sensor Manager when a
new sensor network joins; it stores the related sensor type for each application when
the application registers, makes a quick response and redirect the data source to the
requesting application after receiving a query. In a FSN system, the quantity of
individual sensor networks and the sensor type could be very large. In such cases, the
virtual coordinator will be overloaded if several applications make requests at the
same time. The Classifier is designed to detect the overlaps within multiple
application queries, and attempts to combine them to minimize the load on the sensor
Proxy. It also stores recently accessed data in caches so that future queries without
real-time requirements can be served by local caches.

2) A Securer is an enhanced part of the User Manager. It implements the pairing
mechanism for sensor data and the destination data consumer. When a user logs in, a
unique identification code will be given. This code is used to obtain the sensor data
received from the Data Processor. Once the Virtual Coordinator finds the data
consumers who are interested in the coming sensor data, the Securer will have to check if the returned destination information is correct.

3) A Central database is the sensor data storage component. As a real time data collection network over the Internet (L. Arnstein, R. Grimm, 2002), the systems always face the network traffic congestion. These may arise due to many reasons, such as the server hanging up because there are too many clients trying to connect to the same server simultaneously or a DDoS (Distributed Denial of Service) attack. Another possible cause is network traffic congestion caused by large amounts of data transfer. To make sure the sensor data can be transferred to the destination, the system uses a central database to backup and cache data. At normal times, the duty of the database server is to back up all the sensor data for further indexing and reviewing. If the Internet is congested, the Data Processor can redirect the data source to the database server, which stores the data and provides the upper layer with the stored data.

- Applications

All the sensor data will finally be fed to applications. Typical applications are:

1) Interactive applications where users specify the sensor data they require, for instance, an interactive GPS based navigator system installed in an ambulance that requires the drive to enter a patient’s address. The system should then calculate the best route to the address. This would require all the sensor data from nodes on or near the route, as any incident that causes traffic congestion will delay the ambulance and endanger the patient. Should incidents be detected, the system should recalculate the route to avoid the incident.

2) An automated data collection system that records the sensor data continually and saves them for further analysis or indexing. For example, a supermarket management system that gets the volume of customers from a car park sensor network, get shopper behaviours from stock levels and weight sensor located under the goods’ shelf; this
data can be analysed with sales volumes.

3) Google Maps is a globe mapping system that can provide navigation, local interest searches and many other convenient services. It provides a useful presentation platform for sensor data and may display the data from a non-privacy and non-sensitive sensor network to users directly, without requiring the installation of any extra application. Examples of data that might be displayed include the data from traffic sensors and fire detecting sensors. Google has announced that Google Maps API for any Maplet (small Google Maps build-in application) for their Google Maps service.

4) Sensor network management application. For the sensor networks that have full permission, operators can maintain the sensor network in a state such as system initialization, updating firmware etc.

4.4 Demonstration

This demonstration is used to test the feasibility of the first method of integrating the WSN and the Internet. We assume only basic functions of the system to prove it is capability of supporting the federated system. The demonstration system is PC based to make it easier for hardware design and debugging. A simple model of Data Node and Sensor Proxy has been developed in JAVA. The Data Node design needs to have the serial port connectivity because they use Jennic 5139 devices as the components of data sources. We set the Serial port parameters to be the same as the embed software in the Jennic 5139 node device, which is: Band rate: 38400, Flow control in/out: none, data bite: 8, stop bite: 1 and parity: none. The server is based in a PC with IP address: 131:231:128:23 with port number: 9999. Once connected to a WSN, it collects the basic information of the current WSN and forwards to the Sensor Proxy. The communication between Data Node and Sensor Proxy is based on TCP/IP, as shown in Figure 4-5. There is a PC connected to each ZigBee network to act as a Data Node. The Data Node gets connection with the Sensor Proxy through the Internet. In
the Sensor Proxy, an operator needs to open a port to start listening to incoming connection requests. When there is a new client request, the Sensor Proxy will create a new thread and open a new port for receiving data automatically. The Sensor Proxy is responsible for detecting the type of sensor network for the incoming data and to unify different sensor data into a unitary format.

Figure 4-5 Demonstration architecture of centralized Federated Sensor Network

After the Data Node has been set up, all the sensor data sent out by the ZigBee networks will be recorded. This includes the continuous sensor data flow and the exceptional condition report for the sensing environment. When a sensor node detects anything unusual, the sensor data will be forwarded to the Sensor Proxy (server) and the Sensor Proxy will set an appointed IP address and a listening port number to continuously archive the sensor data. In the meantime, it sends the sensor data to the Data Processor. In this demo, we set a critical temperature value of 24 °C; the sensor network will send out an alert message to the upper layer if this is exceeded. The interface of the Sensor Proxy is shown in Figure 4-6.

Once the Data Processor receives the sensor data, it creates a sensor data alert and sends it to the Virtual Coordinator. After the Virtual Coordinator receives the alert and completes the search of interested applications, a return message is sent back as a confirmation. Once this is completed, the Data Processor will generate an event for sensor data transmission and raise the event once the user authentication successes.
The data transmission process is shown in Figure 4-7. The connection will be dropped if an operator pressing the disconnect button or close the window as shown in Figure 4-8.
4.5 Discussion

In this chapter, we discussed the first solution of integrating WSNs and the Internet, known as the Front-End Proxy Solution. By combining with the Centralized Server Structure, we introduced the Centralized Federated sensor network. It can integrate all sorts of sensor networks together to make sensory data available for people, allowing examinations of the past, monitoring of the present and predictions of the future. A user can monitor the environment variables and detect an emergency, which may be detected by different sensor networks in different zones. In this chapter, we have proposed a feasible system architecture, which contains five major layers: A data collection node transmits the sensor data to the upper layer from each sensor network while maintaining the low cost and low workload of existing sensor network; Sensor Proxy, for updating the compatibility of different sensor network types; Virtual Coordinator, which is the main entrance to the system for users, sensors and application managers. It provides a user authentication mechanism capable of responding to different requests from the upper layer applications by specifically API. There is also a database system for backing up the sensor data and providing a possible connection when there is a communication fault between the sensor Proxy and the virtual coordinator; the different API having corresponding applications including sensor network monitoring and sensor network management. The monitoring application is for ordinary users and the latter is for system maintenance; finally the data processor can enhance the system handling capacity for a large scale federated sensor network to give extra security for data transmission.

From the demonstration, we found there are some deficiencies in the system. Three significant advantages of this federated system have been identified:

- The ability to adapt all sizes of sensor networks. While it is clear that the Data Processor module can not only improve the data throughput and data handling capacity in large scale networks, it is also enhance the reliability and security of
tiny federated network containing three or four sensor networks. However it can be removed in a low cost, small scale federated sensor network.

- There is little modification required for existing sensor networks; intercepting sensor data and sending it to the upper layer is the only modification required.

- Strong compatibility. There exist various types of sensor networks that come with different API, the sensor Proxy will work with most of the popular standard types of sensor networks; more sensor networks can be added in the future when needed.

The centralized architecture is good for information indexing. Users can easily find the sensor data they need from a central database. But it also contains some downsides. From the demonstration, we found the federated system requires continuous updating of sensory information, which can significantly shorten battery life of sensor network nodes. As we all know, the centralized structure is not good enough to handle large scale network systems. With the number of connected sensor network increased, the system efficiency may become worse. But IoT is born as a large scale system, so an alternative solution may be required. This is discussed in the following chapter.
Chapter 5. Distributed Federated Sensor Network - Gateway Solution

In Chapter 3 we classified the integration of wireless sensor networks and the Internet using three methods: Front-End Proxy Solution, Gateway Solution and TCP/IP Overlay Solution. In Chapter 4 we also proposed architectures from the Front-End Proxy Solution, which is a centralized server system. In this chapter we will discuss the design of the Integration the wireless sensor networks and the Internet using the Gateway Solution. We will first discuss its features and then propose a distributed federated sensor network architecture by introducing a service providing architecture. A demonstration system is presented at the end of the chapter to validate our design.

5.1 Background and motivation

The WSNs have been integrated together by introducing of centralized FSN. It is a kind of centralized data collection system which means all the sensor data must pass through the central server for all the processing. It is beneficial for indexing information and users could easily find the sensor data they need from a central database. Until now, most of the related work has chosen centralized structures, such as SenseWeb, which aim to provide a common platform and set of tools to enable
MSN Live data owners to easily publish their sensor data (Kansal, et al., 2007). However, the efficiency of all centralized network systems’ is significantly reduced by the number of data requests and data transfers. Specifically, the centralized approach does not scale as the size of the network increases because of the large amounts of information that need to be maintained at the central server. Moreover, the centralized management system can be a single point of failure for the entire network (Ramamurthy, et al., 2001). In the centralized system, access to data may be hampered by long delays of variable time. This means that the availability of data and connection speeds must be continuously monitored; it is not enough to make a query execution plan once (Sun, 2008). The main role of a data collection network that serves the wireless sensor network is to deal with the large amount of queries, including data transmission and data indexing. The feature of the proxy server shows that any data transmission within its network is not allowed; all data transmission within the sensor network must notify the upper layer. In this scenario, the centralized FSN may have a very heavy load with more and more WSNs joining into the system. Think about the image of involving hundreds of different applications requiring the same sensor data from one sensor network at the same time, or one application asking sensor data from thousands of different types of sensor network. Depending on the query load, the priority and urgency of each application, sensing, bandwidth and computation resources must be carefully allocated to provide desirable quality-of-service, whilst preserving fairness, security of operation and privacy across applications. In this situation, we need an improved system to cover the shortages of the centralized FSN.

An alternative to a centralized structure is a distributed structure. The heavy load of the central server can be easily distributed by using a decentralized communication method. Additionally, if sections of the network fail, the remaining components can take over and avoid a total network failure. The service oriented architecture (SOA) also helps to build the distributed architecture. By considering all the data and query as services, components are able to share data and communicate more easily. This is
the concept of Service Oriented Gateway.

5.2 Development of a distributed federated sensor network architecture

A distributed federated sensor network is a unified system to collect, share, process and query sensor data from authorized sharing sensor networks. It aims to provide an architecture that general sensor networks can easily join and share the sensor data without requiring any modification to be made to the existing sensor networks. Such system architecture is shown in Figure 5-1. Different from the centralized system, the key point of a distributed system is to separate the data flow and the query flow. Like in peer-to-peer systems, the data transmission processes are only held between the data source and the data consumer rather than passing through a central server. The
server only deals with the query processes and points to the direction of the sensor data flow. The original feature of Sensor Proxy is assigned to each local device “SSP”. A new server named DSNS (Domain Sensor Name Server) replaces the original Virtual Coordinator, and is designed to support the service oriented architecture. In other words, the distributed system is aimed to reduce the load on the server to make it more suitable for large scale federated systems like IoT.

Figure 5-2 Object relationships

- The newer federated sensor network can be decomposed into four objects: user, application, sensor networks and server.
- The user is the final consumer of the sensor data. It can belong to any one or more applications.
- The application monitors and controls the direction of sensor data flow. Some of the applications obtain the sensor data, combine and translate it into human friendly data, before presenting it to users.
- The sensor networks are the data generators in the system. For the best compatibility, we use a component to transform the sensor data to a unified format.
- The server exists as a director in the system. It deals with queries without any data processing, and helps to build the connection between the target and query
source.

The system can provide real-time data accessing and achieve observations threads, and offers maximum flexibility to on-demand queries and processing of observations. Figure 5-2 shows the relationships between the four objects.

5.2.1 Sensor Networks Layer

In the sensor networks layer, a new component called a Sensor Server Publisher (SSP) will be connected to the existing sensor networks. It is a successor of the previously designed “Data Node” and a special end device for reporting its own sensor network’s characteristics, detailing available sensor data and uploading sensor data to the upper layer. As a component in the distributed system, the SSP also aims to replace the previous Sensor Proxy design. Because the data transmission mechanism in the new system is working in a similar way to the P2P architecture, the SSP will only transfer sensor data to the data consumer directly, without mediation by a centralized proxy. The navigation information of the data’s destination is provided by the DSNS. The SSP is also a type of service provider. Once it joins a sensor network and obtains a connection to the Internet or a specified network, the SSP will search the Domain Sensor Name Server and register itself on it. Finally, the sensor features are stored in the SSP for future use. The sensor data is transmitted after the request has been confirmed by DSNS or exception sensor data has been detected.

5.2.2 Server Layer

The Domain Sensor Name Server is a sensor data central Indexing system. It acts in a similar way to the DNS (Domain Name Server) on the Internet. The Domain Sensor Name Server stores the key words of natural language sensor descriptions, such as the sensor types, location and logical names, with the physical sensor identifiers and provides an indexing mechanism to the applications. The DSNS only deals with queries without touching any sensor data. The idea of separating the query flow and data flow is achieved by this component. There are four components in the DSNS: a
Sensor Manager, an Application Manager, a Sensor Indexer and the Sensor Allocator.

1) The Sensor Indexer provides a searching service in terms of sensor type or sensor characteristic to the upper layer applications. The source of the index service comes from the Sensor Manager. It will search the sensor information when it has received a request from an application. If there is one or more sensor networks that can provide the specified type of sensor data, a positive response will be returned and the Sensor Allocator will be notified to arrange the data transmission from the most suitable sensor network.

2) The Sensor Manager is responsible for the registration of sensor networks. It provides a mechanism for registering new sensor networks by defining the types, descriptions and name. In addition, it implements a management function for modifying the characteristics of registered sensor networks. The information collected by a sensor network can be used to support the Sensor Indexer to complete a searching request from an application.

3) The Application Manager is designed to record the applications’ information, such as the IP address, the application’s details and the types of sensor data that it is likely to request for. This information will be used to determine what applications are notified when exceptional data from sensor network has been captured. The Application Manager makes the decision of where the destination of the current processed sensor data is. It provides a network address of the intended application if the sensor data interest has been registered, or sends them to the historical database for future searching. The Application Manager may be triggered by a sensor raised query for the interested application discovery process. The operational procedure is shown in Figure 5-3. The sensor-raised query occurs once after one or more exceptions have been detected in a sensor network. The data is then sent to the SSP, which prepares for further transmission. The SSP then reports the sensor’s property to the Application Manager to check whether there is any application interested. If there is, the Application Manager returns the
application’s connection information to the SSP. The SSP sends a connection request and waits for the connecting response from the application. If there is no application interested, the SSP transfers the sensor data to the database for future indexing.

Figure 5-3 Sensor raised query

4) The Sensor Allocator is the most important and heavily loaded part in the DSNS. It serves as a hub between the applications and the data sources to help data consumers locate the desired data producers. The Sensor Allocator may be triggered by a user raising a query for the desired sensor data discovery process. The operational procedure is shown in Figure 5-4. The query is raised when an application sends a searching request to the Sensor Manager of the DSNS. If the sensor network can provide the data that the application is asking for, the Sensor Locator will return the specified sensor network’s details including the IP address and the port number to the application; it will also notify the SSP to activate the listening port for receiving connection requests from the application. There is a simple verifying mechanism for connecting applications to the sensor network. When the Sensor Locator returns the sensor network’s details to the application and notifies the SSP to prepare for connection request, a randomly generated number will be sent to both of them. The application then sends a connection
request containing this number to the data source. If these two numbers match, the connection will be accepted by the SSP, otherwise it rejects the connection.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5-4 User raised query**

### 5.2.3 Applications Layer

The function of the applications layer in distributed Federated Sensor Network is the same as the one in the centralized Federated Sensor Network. They are the consumers of all the sensor data. The only difference is how they access the sensor data. In the centralized Federated Sensor Network, the application connects with the virtual coordinator and Data Processor. All sensor data is processed by the virtual coordinator and transmitted to the designated application. In a distributed Federated Sensor Network, the application may connect to the data producer directly. In another words, there is a dynamic connection between the applications and the sensor networks/database.

### 5.3 Implementation of general functions

In this section, we discuss how the proposed Distributed Federated Sensor Network system can be implemented. This includes the selection of hardware and the
technologies that are required. The Figure 5-5 shows a simplified ontology of the system hardware. The house symbol can be recognized as a house has wireless sensor network installed. There is one SSP attached to each WSN as the system’s entry. A DSNS server can be supported by a server provider. The user interface for human and the applications will be designed and developed to match the system.

For connecting the WSN to the “outside world”, we introduce a special end device called Sensor Service Publisher (SSP). The duties of SSP are: 1) achieving sensor data from sensor networks; 2) register the details of the current WSN to Domain Sensor Name Server (DSNS) and publish the service that it can provide; 3) receive the control command from DSNS. A SSP consists with normal sensor device, a data transmission model with option adapter (Ethernet adapter, IEEE 802.11g wireless adapter and GPRS, HSDPA or LTE, etc. mobile adapter) and a micro-processor for controlling the data transmission and register with the DSNS. To achieve compatibility with different types of WSNs, the Radio Frequency Module of the Data Node must be able to handle various wireless transmission standards, such as the XBee/XBee Pro RF module from MaxStream.

Figure 5-5 System Components for implementation
The Sensor Gateway will be made by a high performance ASIC switch, which is a programmable switch that can be reprogrammed to meet our requirement. The affiliated database can be built as a MySQL database.

From the result of the old system demonstration, we found the data query and data transmission consumes a large amount of the central server resources. The new DSNS design outsources the data transmission work but may still have to face a heavy load of queries from the system. In additional, to suit different scales of FSN, the performances requirement of DSNS can be flexible for different sized systems with different requirements. A suitable solution is a blade server, like IBM BladeCenter. Blade servers make it is easy to minimize the use of physical space and energy. Also the blade server is easy to maintain and upgrade when the current specification cannot meet the system requirements. SCO Unix is highly recommended operation system for a large scale FSN with high performance DSNS. It is widely used in the DNS area and supports five different types of configuration, which give great support when building a DNS (The SCO Group, 2010). With the high similarity between DNS and DSNS, the SCO Unix will be the perfect solution as the operation for our system.

A client is a sensor data consumer in the FSN system. Users can search their desired sensor data, register the interesting data and also present the required data to users. There are two types of methods that enable these client functionalities. The first one is an individual client program for heavy usage users who need to check the sensor data every day, or even every ten minutes, and request data from the fixed WSNs. It will be coded in JAVA to ensure cross-platform compatibility. The second type is a web-based client; it is for the users who just want to do a quick look, but do not like to install any programs on their computers. The web-based client contains the basic functions like log in/out, search, register interest and sensor data display. It will be coded in Java Server Pages (JSP) to achieve the similar function as the individual client. The client design is subject to change for different application requirements. For example, a traffic monitoring application may require a continuous data flow so the client must
be tidy but stable. A medical monitoring system requires high mobility for end device as it needs to be attached with medical workers. The communication method between users, Sensor Gateway and DSNS would be IP based general Internet connection protocol (IPV4/IPV6).

5.4 Demonstration System

![Figure 5-6 Hardware demonstration system](image)

The structure of the demonstrated system is illustrated in Figure 5-6. The WSNs has been designed to monitor the environment temperature and light intensity. For the start-up stage, a ZigBee coordinator establishes the ZigBee network first before the ZigBee routers can join the network. At the end, the individual sensor nodes can join the network. We set up the SSP as a ZigBee router from the ZigBee protocol stack specification. This is done because an ordinary end-device can only communicates with its parent node (ZigBee router), all the out of range sensor data must be passed from its related father node to the destination parent node. Additionally, a cyclical activation node end-device cannot respond when it is not activated. Under the
demonstration stage, we split the SSP into two parts: a sensor data collector and a service publisher. The ZigBee router collects the sensor data and the service publisher publishes the sensor network details to the system. We choose the temperature sensor and illumination sensor as the target data. The average value will be sent to UART port. There is a data encapsulation class for packing the data from series port: DatasourceAlarmMessage. The series port parameters are kept in:

```
/sensorlessmanagement/src_conf/datasource/config.properties
```

The service publisher is coded in JAVA and demonstrated on a laptop. It contains two components, a series port reader and a service controller. ZigBee development kits use series port to connect to a PC, and then the series port reader collects the sensor data sent by the ZigBee router. The service controller stores the connected WSN’s specifications and is assigned an IP address for communication between the SSP and the Sensor Gateway and client devices. The service controller leaves two classes for the series port:

```
datasource.message.queue.DataMessageList.java
datasource.message.queue.AlarmMessageQueue.java
```
When if exceptional sensor data is detected and interested clients are online, it uses the following method to alert the users.

DataMessageList.addMessage(String message)

If no clients are online, it notifies the DSNS and saves the data into a database.

AlarmMessageQueue.add(DatasourceAlarmMessage element)

The wireless sensor networks are constructed using Jennic development kit as shown in Figure 5-7. We use ZigBee as the node device standard and implement the modules in ZigBee specified C. The data collected by the sensor nodes will be reported by the serial port. There are two typical data types: light and temperature; their data structure is shown in Table 5-1.
Table 5-1 Payload field definition of light/temp data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4-5</th>
<th>6-7</th>
<th>8-9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>SeqNum</td>
<td>NodeID</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Seconds</td>
<td>Node2</td>
<td>Ticks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-2 is the instruction for each field of dissemination command. Here, the payload field’s style varies according to user’s application to best present the data. The length value of the Payload field is varied according to the payload types.

Table 5-2 The packet format of data on the nodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length (octet)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frame control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Destination address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Source address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sequence number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>IEEE source address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>IEEE destination address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>Multicast control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variable</td>
<td>Source route subframe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variable</td>
<td>Frame payload</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the demonstration, the DSNS and sensor Database was implemented using the same computer. The DSNS was built in Java and running on a PC with JRE installed. The MySQL database was also implemented using the same PC. In a real application, the database should be deployed in an individual database server that is connected to the Internet. In this demonstration, the SSP’s information is embedded in the source code. Once connected to the system, it will call the DSNS and register the information about itself. The parameter show below:
The desktop computer deployed the DSNS comes with an Ethernet network adapter for Internet accessibility. It provides the data indexing service to clients, and controls the SSP to respond to the user’s requests. It is built by seven packages:

- dsns.main
- dsns.msgdeal.datasourcealarm
- dsns.msgdeal.datasourcestatuschange
- dsns.msgdeal.userqueryall
- dsns.properties
- dsns.socket.server
- dsns.thread

The DSNS needs to deal with many short period queries. For maximizing the system multi-processing capability, we introduce a thread pool in the server system. The thread pool provides a solution for the thread-life-cycle-cost problem and the resource-shortage problem. By reusing a thread for multiple tasks, the overhead of thread creation is shared by multiple tasks. In this situation, the thread exists and is ready for use. This process eliminates the delay of creating a new thread and reduces the response time of the application. When numerous requests are created simultaneously, the system may dynamically adjust the number of threads in the pool to prevent system resources from being overloaded. If the number of requests is larger than a certain threshold, new requests are forced to wait until an existing thread becomes available. The thread pool running method shows how the thread starts and terminates when an exception is caught:

```java
public void run() {
    log.info("DSNS ThreadPool started.");
    running = true;
    Socket socket = null;
    while (running) {
        try {
            // accept connection from client, any success connection can
```
trigger the accept()
    
    socket = serverSocket.accept();
    executorService.execute(new SocketServerThread(socket));
} catch (Exception e) {
    log.error("DSNS Exception caught when receiving client’s data, message:" + e.getMessage());
} finally {
}

if (socket != null) {
    try {
        socket.close();
    } catch (IOException e) {
        log.error("Exception caught when closing DSNS port, message:" + e.getMessage());
    }
}

An example of DSNS parameters are shown below:

SERVER_PORT=11002
SERVER_CONNECT_POOL_SIZE=20

The server_port is for the connection from a client to a SSP; the maximum number of requests is set at 20.

The client is an interface to present user’s desired sensor data. In this demonstration, we developed it as a web-based application to get more convenient to show the user interface. Users can also manage their interested WSNs and search for sensor data.

There are two interfaces built in the demonstration system, the first one is for users to send requests to the DSNS, as shown in Figure 5-8. Users are able to search sensor network by location, sensor type, duration, and keyword. There is also a history field at the bottom to show the user’s visiting history. The second one receives the sensor data from the SSP or the database and displays the data to users, as shown in Figure 5-9. User needs to choose the data source they desired from the available WSN. There are two SSP working in individual WSNs; users can easily mark the selected WSN as a ‘favourite’ by pressing the star symbol, the time period of interest cab be selected by
clicking on the calendar symbol, and the display of current data can be removed by the bin symbol.

![Figure 5-8 The searching Interface of DSNS](image)

![Figure 5-9 Data presenting interface](image)

When exceptional data is received, the client will be alerted by a message in red. In
the demonstration system, an increase in temperature and an illumination of greater than one is identified as exceptional data. The Figure 5-10 shows the alert display

![Exception data presenting](image)

**Figure 5-10** Exception data presenting

### 5.5 Comparison and discussion

In this chapter a comparison of the existing architectures used to combine WSN and the Internet with different solution are discussed. The centralised FSN structure is usually used for simple scenarios. This chapter presents an alternative, a decentralised structure, the Distributed Federated Sensor Network, more suitable for larger, more complicated scenarios.

The DFSN can integrate multiple types of sensor networks together and feeds sensor data to one or more applications for people to monitor what is happening, and to predict what is going to happen. Compared to the centralised FSN system, the distributed federated sensor network has two significant advantages:

1. The distributed federated sensor network can easily deal with on-demand data queries without a long time delay. Because all the sensor networks are implemented as small service providers, it omits the process of storing sensor data in a central database and directly builds a connection to individual sensor networks.
2. A distributed FSN has greater compatibility. In a centralized FSN, the database continuously extracts data from the sensor networks. However, a major problem with wireless sensor network is the limitation of their power supply; the centralized FSN design really only suitable for a sensor network with a mains power supply. The distributed FSN is a decentralized system, which improve the system efficiency by combining fast-reacting fully-distributed data transmission with a highly optimized centralized control. The sensor data is transmitted only when it is required. This approach is beneficial for extending the life time of wireless sensor networks.

The discussion between Distributed and Centralized is always a problem of system ontology. The ideal solution really depends on your deployment. On the network element, a distributed system obviously helps network performance and system scale. But there are benefits to centralization, such as ease of maintenance. Therefore, we say the centralized system is suitable for a specific local system but the distributed system we presented in this chapter is more suitable for building a large scale sensing and monitoring application.
We discussed the three solutions to integration of the Internet and (Wireless Sensor Network) WSN in chapter 3. Two solutions have been presented: centralized (Federated Sensor Network) FSN in chapter 4 and distributed FSN in chapter 5. In this chapter, we will introduce a Federated Sensor Network with IPv6 supported to cover the TCP/IP overlay solution. We describe the 6LoWPAN FSN architecture, present and demonstrate a localisation tracking system to reflect the usability of the proposed FSN architecture.

6.1 Background and Motivation

As an enhancement of WSNs, the federated sensor network has a wide range of uses, from natural disaster relief to biomedical health monitoring. As WSNs become more numerous and their data more valuable, it is important to have common means of sharing their data over the Internet. How WSN and the internet are integrated will depend on the application. For example, some small scaled monitoring aimed
applications may choose the centralized FSN system as it is easy to maintain because end-device in the WSN are not individually controlled. In contrast, the devices in a modern tracking system not only needs to receive positioning signals to calculate the current coordinates, but also needs to interact with the monitoring end-user. Both the centralised FSN and distributed FSN presented in Chapters 4 and 5 respectively do not allow this because a proxy server and the gateway separate the WSN and the Internet, threatening them as two individual networks. This means users from the Internet don't know what is inside the WSN because the sensor nodes maintain their independence as part of a cloud in an “adjacent” network. In this scenario, it will be difficult to reach a single sensor node from the Internet as data transmission across different types of networks may cause extra delay. A new structure that allows rapid communication between the WSN and the Internet is needed.

The TCP/IP overlay solution (Roman and Lopez, 2009) is a method of integrating WSNs and the Internet that allows this rapid communication. In this solution, sensor nodes communicate with each other using TCP/IP. These nodes use the protocols and standards also used on the Internet so the gateway is replaced by a component that behave like a router, forwarding packets from and to the sensor nodes. This makes the sensor nodes completely integrated inside the Internet. In IoT architecture, a server that runs as a DNS is necessary. Some existing works have a solution to borrow the existing DNS on the internet. In (Zimmermann et al., 2008), they introduce a one-to-one translation between link local address and global address at the gateway. It uses “twin NAT architectures” to modify both source and destination IP addresses. After that, a DNS Application Level Gateway intercepts the DNS query and assigns a link local address to internal node. If the DNS query could not be intercepted, communication is dropped. This idea can only be considered as a temporary solution as a twin NAT structure is very unstable; it will significantly increase the delay of data transmission and the system may crash if one of the NATs goes down. This is the reason we use the DSNS from the Distributed FSN with minor modifications to suit the features of a 6LoWPAN based Federated Sensor Network.
6.2 6LoWPAN based Federated Sensor Network

Since the TCP/IP was too complex to be supported by IEEE 802.15.4 devices, we choose 6LoWPAN as the protocol for the WSN in a FSN system. It is a lightweight version of IPv6 with an adaption layer, which enables the transmission of IPv6 datagrams over IEEE 802.15.4 links by providing header compression (IPv6 and UDP headers can ideally be reduced from 40+8 to 2+4 bits and with no prior communication for context establishment), fragmentation below the IP layer (IPv6 requires a minimum MTU of 1280 bytes, but IEEE 802.15.4 can at best provide 102 bytes) and support for layer-two forwarding (to deliver IPv6 datagram over multiple radio hops). With the benefits of 6LoWPAN, we introduce the new Federated Sensor Network with 6LoWPAN support.

The Figure 6-1 shows the architecture of the system. The new architecture has a similar layout to the Distributed FSN as it is really an enhanced distributed FSN. The system is 6LoWPAN enabled, which means the exploring method of the system needs to be changed. The WSN and the internet are working individually in conventional distributed FSNs; the data generated by the WSN must be converted and repacked before transferred to the data consumer. However, in the 6LoWPAN solution, only the 6LoWPAN and IP Header are converted. The adaptation layer is positioned between the link and network layer, which provides the header compression for 6LoWPAN nodes but leaves the IPv6 address as what it was. The four main attributes of these advancements are discussed below.
6.2.1 6LoWPAN Sensor Network Layer

Sensors used applications such as health monitoring, home automation, environmental monitoring and precision agriculture are all suitable for the 6LoWPAN. These sensors are configured with the 6LoWPAN stack. The 6LoWPAN Gateway has two roles in the sensor network: it is a sink node for the current WSN and also a gateway between the 6LoWPAN network and the upper layer IPv4/6 network. It translates the messages between those two heterogeneous networks by converting the 6LoWPAN stack and IPv4/6 stack. To achieve this, the gateway must be configured by both of the two different stacks; it is a dual stack gateway. The interconnection from WSN to the end user is shown in Figure 6-2. The WSN is the data generator of the system. In a 6LoWPAN sensor network, all sensor data will be packed with a 6LoWPAN IPv6 header and also come with IEEE 802.15.4 MAC and IEEE 802.15.4 PHY information. Inside the 6LoWPAN Gateway, the PHY layer and the MAC layer are for multiple interfaces that connect to external IP network, such as Wi-Fi and Ethernet. The above
layers, including Application layer, Transport Layer and Network layer provide services to handle both 6LoWPAN and IPv6 packets. They bridge all the interfaces that are connected from different networks. IPv6 packets are transformed to 6LoWPAN packets and vice versa to provide seamless connection between the 6LoWPAN sensor network and external networks. Once a packet reaches the 6LoWPAN Gateway, it is converted by the two built-in stacks. This process includes translating between 6LoWPAN IPv6 and Ethernet IPv6 protocol and the decompression of the 6LoWPAN Header. For example, if the packets come from the 6LoWPAN sensor network, the source MAC address is replaced with an IPv6 address and sent using the IPv6 header. If the packets come from an external network, the gateway would find the destination address in a mapping table. The destination address will be translated into matching MAC address and sent with the 6LoWPAN header. In addition, the request will be dropped if the destination address cannot be found in the table.

![Integrating WSN and the Internet by 6LoWPAN Gateway](image-url)

**Figure 6-2 Integrating WSN and the Internet by 6LoWPAN Gateway**

**6.2.2 Server Layer**

The Server Layer consists of two parts. The Domain Sensor Name Server (DSNS) is inherited from the Distributed FSN system. Like the DNS on the Internet, it associates information with sensor networks’ key characters assigned to each of the participating
entities. Most prominently, it translates stored sensor information to the numerical IP addresses needed for the purpose of locating WSNs within the FSN system. By providing the keyword-based redirection service, the DSNS is an essential component of the functionality of the FSN. From the view of the DSNS in the Distributed FSN, the new DSNS also deals with the queries without touching any sensor data. This change of infrastructure in the sensor network removes the need for a Sensor Allocator because it is easy to locate sensors by their IP address with the help of 6LoWPAN. Therefore, the DSNS consists of three components: Sensor Indexer, Sensor Manager and Application Manager.

1. The Sensor Indexer provides a searching service in terms of the sensor type or sensor characteristics to the upper layer applications. The source of the index service comes from the Sensor Manager. It will search the sensor information when it has received a request from an application. If there is one or more sensor networks that can provide the specified type of sensor data, a positive response will be returned and the Sensor Manager will be notified to arrange the data transmission from the corresponding sensor network.

2. The Sensor Manager is responsible for sensor network registration. It provides a mechanism for registering new sensor networks by defining the type, description and name. In addition, it implements a management function for modifying the characteristics of registered sensor networks. The collected information of each sensor network can be used to support the Sensor Indexer to complete a search request from an application.

3. The Application Manager is designed to record the applications’ information, such as the IP address, the applications’ details and the types of sensor data regarded. The collected information will be used to find out the interested applications when some exception data from a sensor network has been captured. The Application Manager decides the destination of the processed sensor data. It provides a network address if interest in the sensor data has
been registered, or sends the data to a historical database for future searching. The Application Manager may be triggered by a sensor raised query for the interested application discovery process. A sensor raised query occurs once one or more exceptions have been detected a sensor network. The data is sent to the 6LoWPAN Gateway ready for a subsequent transmission. After that, the 6LoWPAN Gateway will report the sensor’s property to the Application Manager to check whether there are any applications interested and waits for the Application Manager to return the application’s connection information if there is. Finally, the 6LoWPAN Gateway sends a connection request and builds a connection directly between the individual sensor nodes to the interested application. If there is no application interested, the 6LoWPAN Gateway will build a connection to the database to store the data for future indexing.

There is a Historical Database connected in the Server Layer to store the important sensor data for future uses. It is not part of the DSNS because the DSNS only deals with queries. It can be placed anywhere with a stable Internet connection to provide a rapid response to the historical data upon connection requests from applications, or a sensor data delivery connection request from a 6LoWPAN wireless sensor network.

6.2.3 Application Layer

The Application Layer has the same functionality as the previous system. Applications achieve sensor data directly from the data generators and database. Thanks to the 6LoWPAN, single sensor nodes are able to feed the application directly as they have their own unique IP address. Furthermore, the communication protocol for the Application Layer comments is replaced by IPv6.

The class diagram shown in Figure 6-3 shows the basic structure of the system, including the component related to the iNet localisation and tracking project and the relationships among the classes. It is used for general conceptual modelling of the
The Demonstration system is based on the iNet localisation and tracking project, which is a low cost tracking system based on WSN technology for industrial applications, typically found in large manufacturing facilities for cars and other similar vehicles or plant items.

6.3.1 Project motivation

The usage of such a system for vehicle tracking would be crucial for maintaining high efficiency and product quality in motor manufacturing plants. The manufacturing process is divided into three stages: production, storage and delivery. Most processes in the production stage are under the control of the factory automation system.
However, the humanized service provided in the storage and delivery stages raises many issues that are difficult to manage within the existing standard production process. After the completion of the production, vehicles leave the manufacturing plants and are moved to various external stocking areas, usually called stockyards, and wait for further special processing (e.g. paintwork, decoration) as requested by the customers or quality control staff. Tracking the location of a particular vehicle for the required further processing is usually handled manually with low efficiency and high labour cost. Field studies in Toyota Manufacturing UK (TMUK) has highlighted the need for a low cost tracking device that can be placed in a vehicle to log details and track its position within a large car park containing several thousand cars, as shown in Figure 6-4.

![Figure 6-4 iNet localisation and tracking project concept](image)

**6.3.2 Principles of Localisation and Tracking with FSN**

Object localisation and tracking has been a topic of interest in many civilian and military applications. Abundant examples for such applications range from assistive technology and robot navigation to search-and-rescue missions and virtual reality...
systems. Localisation is the process of determining the position of an object in space. Tracking seeks to identify the position of an object over time (Manley, 2006). The WSN based localisation and tracking system is a token system, which means the object is carrying a device, such as a wireless sensor device or a RFID tag. The environment is equipped with wireless sensor networks that aid in tracking the token. The token device will receive the radio frequency signal from the assisted sensor nodes. We choose Fingerprinting Algorithm as the methodology of the localisation and tracking system because its wireless devices allow low cost and high accuracy. The basic idea of the Fingerprinting Algorithm can be divided into two steps. After deploying the assist sensor nodes, a wireless sensor network is established. The first step is to measure the environment by using a measurement node. It collects the radio signal strength to creates a radio map of a given area based on the Received Signal Strength Indication (RSSI) data from several access points and generates a probability distribution of RSSI values for a given (x,y) location. The second step is to use another sensor node to join the assisting WSN and to collect live RSSI values, then compare it to the previously generated radio map to find the closest match and obtain a predicted (xT,yT) location.

As an indoor localisation and tracking system, the number of deployed wireless sensor network depends on the building structure and field area. For example, the building structure units like walls may weaken the radio signal significantly. The best solution for an indoor localisation and tracking system is to deploy one WSN in each target area. In this case, we need the FSN system to integrate numerous WSNs and aggregate the results to present to multiple users. In addition, the system may need to track multiple targets at the same time. Monitoring application requests directly access the individual sensor nodes to obtain the RSSI data so we choose the 6LoWPAN based FSN to provide more frequent accessing to the single sensor node.

6.3.3 System structure introduction

The demonstration system consists of two localisation and tracking WSNs, each with
two 6LoWPAN Gateways, a DSNS, a database and a user client as shown in Figure 6-5. The localisation and tracking WSN is the data generator of the system. The outgoing packets contain the RSSI values, which are collected by the target device and transferred to the 6LoWPAN for further processing. The 6LoWPAN Gateway has a location calculation module built-in to obtain the location \((x_T,y_T)\) of the target device in the field. The DSNS aims to redirect information for both users and WSNs so that they can find each other and helps to build the connection if necessary. The User client is able to query the DSNS to localise and track using the WSN. All results received are real-time. The components and environment are discussed in detail below.

![Demonstration system Structure](image)

**Figure 6-5 Demonstration system Structure**

**Localisation and Tracking WSN:** The localisation and tracking WSN consists of an offline tag (measurement object), an online tag (target object) and four Border Routers.
1. Border Routers (Figure 6-6 a) are stationary sensor nodes placed in the corners of the target field. They work as receiving towers to continuously listen to the channel so that all the messages from mobile targets can be received and report the RSS values to the 6LoWPAN Gateway. We choose RedBee mc1322x as the demonstrate device.

2. The offline tag (Figure 6-6 b) is designed to draw a radio frequency map of the current field. It is a sensor network device that contains a RF module and a digital accelerometer. For the offline measurement phase, the target area will be segmented into numerous areas depending on the size, for example $8 \times 8$ and $2 \times 5$. Then we need to hold the offline tag and start a “snake” path starting from (Figure 6-7) from one corner and stop (detected by accelerometer) at each line crossing to send out a message so that the Border Routers are able to record the radio frequency. Once the offline phase is completed, the RSSI data will be sent to 6LoWPAN Gateway to generate a radio map for the online phase. The tag nod is designed and made by IDC.
3. The online tag (Figure 6-6 c) is designed for tracking the current position of the object. It sends out the RSSI by its RF module to the Border Routers to help them to obtain the RSS, the Border Routers will then send the RSS value to the 6LoWPAN Gateway. Unlike in the offline phase, the accelerometer’s aim is to detect the movement of the device and to wake up the RF module to start sending the message. This increases system efficiency and extends battery life. The online tag is also from IDC.

**6LoWPAN Gateway**: It consists of three parts: a sensor device acts as a hub to receive the data from Border Routers; a coordinate calculation module; and a gateway between the two networks. The hub is a Border Routers coordinator and it manages the connection with the 6LoWPAN Gateway. All the data is collected by hub and passed through to the 6LoWPAN Gateway. The 6LoWPAN Gateway of FSN is the component to convert the 6LoWPAN packets to standard IP packets and pass to the coordinate calculation module to calculate the target location by 3NN (3 nearest neighbours) algorithm. We choose two 2008 iMac desktop running windows 7 with Instant Contiki 2.5 loaded by VMware Player to act both 6LoWPAN Gateways. The screenshots of both 6LoWPAN working terminals are shown in Figure 6-8 and Figure 6-9.
DSNS: The DSNS is the address redirection server in the FSN. Although the demonstration is a small scale and light weight monitoring system, the meaning of it
is to provide an indexing mechanism for user to search and choose the desired data source. The DSNS is deployed on a desktop PC in this demonstration.

![Figure 6-10 Choose data source](image)

**Client**: The User Client is an index and presentation software, which is able to connect to the DSNS and select their desired data source (Figure 6-10). After that, the DSNS will help build the connection and start the data transmission. Users are able to save the data for future use by clicking the start button. The coordinators are displayed in the client interface in Figure 6-11.
6.4 Discussion

A 6LoWPAN enabled Federated Sensor Network is a system that uses lightweight IPv6 to identify the individual sensor node in the WSN. It is good for the communication between the sensor node in a WSN and the applications from an external network. In this chapter, the system architecture of the 6LoWPAN Federated Sensor Network is introduced and compared with the solutions presented in Chapters 4 and 5. This is followed by a demonstration for iNet localisation and tracking project, which is an object localisation and tracking system. We involved the 6LoWPAN enabled Federated Sensor Network into the project to support an infrastructure of a future indoor tracking system. From the result, the proposed system shows an ability to handle multiple 6LoWPAN WSNs and provide a functional indexing service for both users and WSNs by DSNS. With the increased popularity of IPv6, applications are able to exchange data with 6LoWPAN devices more conveniently and easily. That is the original design of the Internet of things.
Chapter 7. SensorML Description for System Implementation

In this chapter, we discuss how to achieve the three types of Federated Sensor Network (FSN) described in Chapters 4-6 using Sensor Model Language (SensorML). After introducing the SensorML, the three FSN systems will be presented in combination with SensorML profiles, including the profiles rules and the detailed description for the three FSN architectures.

7.1 Background and motivation

As one of the Internet of Things (IoT) framework, it is important to present our FSN to the world so we can get more and more people and applications to join our system. The best way for promoting a product is to join a big standard and to be compatible with others, so we choose SensorML as the one to describe our system. With its help, programmers can easily complete any type of FSN and get it deployed on a server for consumer purpose.
7.1.1 SensorML

SensorML from Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) is the general model with eXtensible Markup Language (XML) encodings for sensors, observations and measurements. It is developed under the Sensor Web Enablement (SWE) project. The SWE is focused on developing standards to enable the discovery of sensors and corresponding observations, exchange, and processing of sensor observations, as well as the tasking of sensors and sensor systems. SWE presents many opportunities for adding a real-time sensor dimension to the Internet and the Web. This has extraordinary significance for science, environmental monitoring, transportation management, public safety, facility security, disaster management, utilities' Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) operations, industrial controls, facilities management and many other domains of activity. The functionality that OCG has targeted within the Sensor Web includes (Simonis E., 2008):

1. Discovery of sensor systems, observations, and observation processes that meet our immediate needs.
2. Determination of a sensor's capabilities and quality of measurements.
3. Access to sensor parameters that automatically allow software to process and geo-locate observations.
4. Retrieval of real-time or time-series observations and coverage in standard encodings.
5. Tasking of sensors to acquire observations of interest.
6. Subscription to and publishing of alerts to be issued by sensors or sensor services based upon certain criteria.

7.1.2 SWE standard framework

The SWE extends the OGC web services and encodings framework by providing additional models, services and encodings to enable the creation of web-accessible
sensor assets through common interfaces and encodings. Its services are designed to enable discovery of sensor assets and capabilities, access to those resources and data retrieval, as well as subscription to alerts and tasking of sensors to control observations. The term “sensor” may include observation archives, simulations, and observation processing algorithms in addition to physical sensors.

SWE enables interoperability between discrete disparate sensors, simulation models, and decision support systems. It acts as a middleware layer between physical assets and automated tools or tools operated by humans, as illustrated in the Figure 7-1.

The SWE framework includes three XML based encoding standards and four web services interface standards shown in Figure 7-2. SWE encodings consist of SensorML, which provides standard sensors models, Observations and Measurements (O&M). These describe sensor data and Transducer Markup Language (TML), which is an optimised format for real-time streaming sensor descriptions and sensor data. SWE web services include Sensor Observation Service (SOS), which provides archived and near real-time access to sensors and their data. Sensors are described in SensorML or TML, and sensor data is described in O & M or TML. Sensor Planning

Figure 7-1 SWE Framework (Simonis E., 2008)
Service (SPS) provides access to controllable sensors that are described in SensorML or TML and categorises those sensors in a standard way. It also includes a standard format for describing tasking parameters and tasking requests for clients to create and to issue tasking requests to the sensors, to which an SPS is connected. Sensor Alert Service (SAS) provides the ability of subscribing to and receiving sensor alerts in real-time and makes use of Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol; XMPP is a standard for instant messaging for delivering sensor alerts to interested consumers. Web Notification Service (WNS) sets up and receives asynchronous notifications utilizing a variety of transport mechanisms (e.g. e-mail, phone, fax, Short Message Service (SMS), etc.). It often has cooperative work with an SPS for updating the status of long-running tasks, or has cooperative work with an SAS for receiving outside alerts.

![Classification of Existing SWE standard](image)

**Figure 7-2 Classification of Existing SWE standard**

### 7.1.3 SensorML in Federated Sensor Network

On a basic level, SensorML describes discovery information about a sensor or sensing system by their detailed information (e.g. ID, manufacturer, series number, etc.), classification information (e.g. type), capabilities (e.g. detection range), characteristics
(e.g. size, power supply, etc.), documentation, location information and etc. In addition, it also provides a means for describing a sensor or sensing system as a process, which includes inputs (e.g. physical phenomena) and outputs (e.g. sensor data of those physical phenomena).

SensorML can not only help a FSN to understand and transmit the specific sensor data, but can also help define relationships between sensors and the actions of outcome. For example, a fire detection alert system should send back the location of any fires digitally. Multiple sensors can be used to increase the accuracy of the results; this is commonly known as sensor fusion. For example, a temperature sensor can be qualified by a carbon monoxide sensor to assure that the temperature increasing activity is the result of flame, or cause by another heat source. Also, multiple sensors that are triggered in a certain time frame could determine the burning speed and the next hazardous zone. Currently, most systems describe these sensor relationships and associated actions in vendor specific formats.

SensorML can accomplish this goal in an alternative way. A SensorML ProcessChain defines a workflow that is a collection of processes (ProcessModel, ProcessMethod elements or other ProcessChain elements) that are executable in a sequential manner to obtain a desired result. Like all processes in SensorML, a ProcessChain element is a process with inputs, outputs, and parameter properties. A SensorML ProcessChain can be used for controlling programs and defining sensor relationships. As an example, the ProcessChain is used to describe the fire detection process of a temperature sensor triggering a carbon monoxide sensor. The ProcessChain may connect three processes: the process of waiting for a sensor alert, which is called “waitForSensorAlert” and defined through a ProcessModel; the process of finding a carbon monoxide sensor associated with the alerting sensor, called “findAssociatedSensor” and defined through a ProcessModel; and the process of waking up the carbon monoxide sensor in noxious gas detection mode, called “wakeSensor” and defined through a ProcessModel. Each ProcessModel element references an associated ProcessMethod,
which points to code libraries or XML that implements each process.

The overall design approach for an FSN is to collect native sensor data and transfer it to the intended applications. This can be accomplished with the help of SensorML at the local setup and deployment interface to allow the controller to gain critical information needed to interact with the sensor. SensorML presents a standard controller to make future development become easier. It also accepts the sensor data interpretation and processing by the OGC SWE compliant Processing, Exploitation, and Dissemination (PED) site. This approach allows for the maximum performance, openness, and ease of implementation for a FSN system.

### 7.2 SensorML Profile Description for FSN

The SensorML system contains various sections that describe the sensor metadata in details. The sections are listed as: `<description>`, `<keywords>`, `<identification>`, `<classification>`, `<validTime>`, `<contact>`, `<documentation>`, `<referenceFrame>`, `<inputs>`, `<outputs>`, `<processes>`, `<connections>`, `<positions>` and `<interfaces>`. These sections are used to allow services at the base station or on a gateway between WSNs and the Internet to discover and connect with the WSN. The data of the elements can be collected through the services for specify requirements of user.

Following the concept of system design, all the components should be exposed on the Internet and the sensor nodes may be distributed over a huge area. In this case, there are several possible requirements of the system described above:

- It has multiple sources of data needing to fuse together.
- It needs to reconstruct an event that is described by sensor data.
- It needs to process data and to have all relevant metadata in one place.
- To develop common tools to process, display, analyse and fuse data from multiple sources.
- To exchange raw data with other users from the Internet.
7.2.1 System Description for Centralised Federated Sensor Network (CFSN)

CFSN is a system that integrates WSN and the Internet based on the Front-End Proxy solution. Logically speaking, the CFSN is not able to provide real-time data feeding as all the sensor data is aggregated to the centre proxy server at the beginning, and then forwarding to applications. As a result, CFSN is most focused on the sensor raise query. The core unit of CFSN is more like a proxy server, so it provides all the functions about integrating the networks from different sides.

The core unit consists of a Data Processor and a Virtual Coordinator with three components, which are Sensor Manager, Application Manager and User Manager. The sequence diagram of the system processes is shown in Figure 7-3.

- Virtual Coordinator: it is the main entrance to the system for users, sensor and application managers. It provides a user authentication mechanism that responds to different requests from the upper layer applications (by specifically API by using matched sensor data resource).
- Data processor: it enhances the system handling capacity for a large scale system, also gives extra security for data transmission.
- Sensor Proxy: it is designed for fusing sensor data from different types of sensor networks and linking the WSNs and the Internet.
- Data source: allows a data collection node to transmit the sensor data to the Sensor Proxy from each general sensor network while maintaining low cost and low workload in the existing sensor network.
Figure 7-3 Sensor raised model for CFSN

On the top of the application and sensor register, the Processing starts by transmitting data to the Sensor Proxy, which is generated by the Data Source. After that, the data will be sent to the Data Processor for further investigation to obtain the destination address, including sending alerts to Virtual Coordinator, searching for the user interests and returning the confirmation message with the destination address. Once the message is received by the Data Processor, it notices the user application and requires an authentication for security purposes before starting the data transmission. The entire process can be divided into three parts: network configuration phase, data processing phase and application detection phase.

- Network Configuration phase

The network configuration phase is to help the prospective WSNs and applications to join the system. In a WSN register process, the register query will pass through the Sensor Proxy and reach the Data Processor to save the sensor details. Listing 7-1 is part of the code for the process of sensor register, which chooses temperature as an example parameter. The sensors have their own ID stored in the Data Processor. The model number is a unique number in the system and follows the OGC identifier.
Listing 7-1. Identify sensor node in `<sml:component name="sensorRegister">`

```
<identification>
  <IdentifierList>
    <identifier name="longName">
      <Term qualifier="urn:ogc:def:identifier:longName">Temperature</Term>
    </identifier>
    <identifier name="modelNumber">
      <Term qualifier="urn:ogc:def:identifier:modelNumber">0001</Term>
    </identifier>
  </IdentifierList>
</identification>
```

Listing 7-2. Define the intended application as well as related sensor types in `<sml:component name="applicationRegister">`

```
<classification>
  <ClassifierList>
    <classifier name="intendedApplication">
      <Term qualifier="urn:ogc:def:classifier:application">fire detection</Term>
    </classifier>
    <classifier name="sensorType">
      <Term qualifier="urn:ogc:def:classifier:sensorType">Temperature</Term>
    </classifier>
    <classifier name="sensorType">
      <Term qualifier="urn:ogc:def:classifier:sensorType">CO</Term>
    </classifier>
  </ClassifierList>
</classification>
```

Listing 7-2 is a snippet of application register process. The process classifies the applications by their interested sensor type and generates a list for further indexing process.

- **Data Processing phase**

The data processing phase describes the processes after the sensor data arrive at the Data Processor. The Data Processor sets a timer to detective upcoming sensor data transmitted from the WSN. Once the sensor data has arrived, the system generates a `sensorDataAlert` to search the intended applications for data transmission. Listing 7-3
shows the process of setting timer as input and generating alert as output.

Listing 7-3. Example code for `<sml:component name="sensorDataAlert">`

```xml
<sml:ProcessModel gml:id="sensorDataAlert">
  <sml:inputs>
    <sml:InputList>
      <!-- Example input (e.g. number of seconds to wait before listening for sensor alerts).--> 
      <sml:input name="startDelay">
        <swe:Time definition="urn:ogc:def:input:NG::startDelay">
          <swe:uom code="s"/>
        </swe:Time>
      </sml:input>
    </sml:InputList>
  </sml:inputs>
  <sml:outputs>
    <sml:OutputList>
      <!-- Example output (e.g. sensor ID of an alerting sensor).-->
      <sml:output name="sensorID">
        <swe:Category definition="urn:ogc:def:input:NG::sensorID"/>
      </sml:output>
    </sml:OutputList>
  </sml:outputs>
</sml:ProcessModel>
```

The Alert sent by the Data Processor contains the indexing information about the sensor for searching intended applications. The information is obtained once the sensor data arrives at the Data Processor. Listing 7-4 presents the process of indexing received sensor data type.

Listing 7-4. List of inputs for `<sml:component name="dataTransmitter">`

```xml
<inputs>
  <InputList>
    <input name="Temperature">
      <Quantity definition="urn:ogc:def:phenomenon:temperature"/>
    </input>
    <input name="Pressure">
      <Quantity definition="urn:ogc:def:phenomenon:pressure"/>
    </input>
    ...
  </InputList>
</inputs>
```

- Application Detection phase
The application detection phase is the set of processes detecting the intended application for the current processing sensor data. The entire process is started by receiving the alert from the Data Processor. The Virtual Coordinator will start the application searching module and send back the result. The detection module can be either included inline or be embedded into separate document referenced using an “xlink pointer”. The intended application searching process is taken by an xlink pointer. It searches the existing list and returns the result to the Data Processor if detected. Listing 7-5 presents the code.

Listing 7-5. List of all detectors constituting the application and using an xlink pointer for referring intended application.

```
<processes>
  <ProcessList>
    <process name="co">
      <Detector id="co0001"> ... </Detector>
    </process>
    <process name="temperature">
      <Detector id="temp0001"> ... </Detector>
    </process>
    <process name="fireDirection" xlink:href="URI to detector document"/>
    <process name="lightControl" xlink:href="URI to lightControl document"/>
  </ProcessList>
</processes>
```

Once the intended applications are detected, the Data Processor will raise the data transmission to the allocated destination. Listing 7-6 presents the data transmission process for a fire detection application.

Listing 7-6. Raise the data transmission for specify application (e.g. fire detection application)

```
<outputs>
  <OutputList>
    <output name="fireDetection">
      <DataGroup>
        <component name="time">
          <Time definition="urn:ogc:def:phenomenon:time"
                    uom="urn:ogc:def:unit:iso8601"/>
        </component>
        <component name="temperature">
          <Quantity definition="urn:ogc:def:phenomenon:temperature"/>
        </component>
      </DataGroup>
    </output>
  </OutputList>
</outputs>
```
7.2.2 System Description for Distributed Federated Sensor Network (DFSN)

According to the specification for the DFSN, the system logically incorporates components to realize two types of queries:

- User/application raised queries
- Sensor raised queries

We proposed four components within DSNS to handle these two query types:

- Application Manager – It is designed to register, search, store, manager the application and respond to the user’s queries.
- Sensor Manager – It provides the function of managing the sensor networks, updating the sensor information to the Sensor Allocator and returning the result from the Sensor indexer to achieve the indexing mechanism.
- Sensor Indexer – It processes queries and responds with the attributes of target sensor networks.
- Sensor Allocator – It works as a traffic director in the system. It receives sensor networks’ updating information, like network location and network identification.

- User/application raised model
In a DFSN, the components mentioned above allow the basic functionality of a sensor data collection network. We summarize two likely query methods and discuss the numerous types of interactions between each component. They are named: ‘User raised query’ and ‘Sensor raised query’. From the benefited of SensorML we can present the query processing in details.

Figure 7-4 User raised model for DFSN

The process in Figure 7-4 is raised by users and applications and will be terminated after the desired sensor data arrives or a message of ‘no result founded’ is returned. This may be initiated by a user wants to check the current states of the environment in a specific area or an applications needs to quote the historical sensor data for further analysis. Figure 7-4 shows the user raised model sequence interactions between components. From the very beginning, the user will send a query to the Sensor Indexer to start the process. The format of that query is shown in Listing 7-7:

Listing 7-7. Create a Query and sent the query from user interface to sensor indexer

```xml
<connection name="query">
  <Link>
    <source ref="userInterface/inputs/query/sensorInfo"/>
    <destination ref="sensorIndexer/inputs/sensorIndexInfo/sensorInfo"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
```

Once the query has been submitted, the Sensor Indexer will help return details such as
the destination data source (can be database or sensor networks) and classify the query by interest duration. Listing 7-8 shows the query classify.

Listing 7-8. Classify the query
<connection name="queryClassify">
  <Link>
    <source ref="userInterface/inputs/query/time"/>
    <destination ref="this/time"/>
  </Link>
</connection>

The sensor indexer sorts the queries into real-time queries and historical queries. A query will be sent to the database if it is a historical query. Otherwise, it will connect with the sensor allocator, which will respond with the allocating the network address. Listing 7-9 shows how to set connection for a real-time query or a historical query.

Listing 7-9. Set a real-time query or a historical query connection
<connection name="realtimeQuery">
  <Link>
    <source ref="sensorIndexer/outputs/realtimeQuery/sensorInfo"/>
    <destination ref="sensorAllocator/inputs/sensorInfo"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
<connection name="historicalQuery">
  <Link>
    <source ref="sensorIndexer/outputs/historicalQuery/sensorInfo"/>
    <destination ref="database/inputs/query"/>
  </Link>
</connection>

For a historical query, it returns the result and questions the user application whether to build a connection with Historical database or to wait for the information from the Sensor allocator to connect to the data source directly for data transmission. Otherwise, the Sensor Allocator will ask both the data source and user application for the connection details and helps them to build the connection. The function for establishing a connection is shown below.

Listing 7-10. Establish a connection for data transmission
<connection name="dataToUser">
The figure also describes the sensor register mechanism, which will create a new type of sensor in sensor manager and then update the information in sensor index and sensor allocator, as shown below.

Listing 7-11. Register a new type of sensor in sensor manager

```
<connection name="sensorRegister">
  <Link>
    <source ref="dataSource/newSensor"/>
    <destination ref="sensorManager/inputs/sensorInfo"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
<classifier name="sensorType">
  <Term definition="urn:ogc:def:classifier:OGC:1.0:sensorType">
    <value>temp</value>
  </Term>
</classifier>
```

The Sensor Indexer and Sensor Allocator will then be asked for information relating to updates by the function shown in Listing 7-12. The sensor network’s details, such as sensor type, location, setup time, owner etc., may vary.

Listing 7-12. Update the sensor indexer and sensor allocator separately

```
<connection name="indexUpdate">
  <Link>
    <source ref="sensorManager/outputs/sensorIndex"/>
    <destination ref="sensorIndexer/inputs/sensorIndexInfo"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
<connection name="allocatorUpdate">
  <Link>
    <source ref="sensorManager/outputs/sensorAllocate"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
```
• **Sensor raised query**

The sensor raised query happens when a value that exceeds the critical threshold has been detected by the sensor network. The query is generated by notifying and the Application manager to check whether there is any application that has registered to monitor the exceptional value from the current sensor network. After that, the data will be stored in the historical database if there is no application showing its interest, or transmitted to the desired application if there is. Interactions within the Application Manager and the sequence of the sensor raised query are shown in Figure 7-5.

![Figure 7-5 Sensor raised query for DFSN](image)

In one wireless sensor network, the data source that exceeds the given threshold or registers with interested application, will be sent through the expectation alert() function to application manager component. The alert is shown below.

**Listing 7-13. Generate threshold alert and send expectation alert**

```xml
<connection name="thresholdAlert">
  <Link>
    <source ref="this/dataSource/dataValue"/>
    <destination ref="dataSource/process/parameters/threshold"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
```
<connection name="expectationAlert">
  <Link>
    <source ref="this/dataSource/dataValue"/>
    <destination ref="applicationManager/applicationInterestedName/interestedValue"/>
  </Link>
</connection>

When the application manager component has received the alert query from data source, it will process the query using the Application search() function to find out the user who may be interested into this application. The application search function is described by its ProcessChain (the ProcessChain defines a collection of processes that are executable in a sequential manner to obtain a desired result. It has inputs, outputs, and parameters properties (Botts M. and Robin A., 2007). First we define two input component in the InputList that are “ApplicationInterestedName” and “DataSource”. The “data record” is a field that also contains the user’s network address. Finally all of the processes that are used in it are referenced and all above the items’ connections are defined.

Listing 7-14. The format of interested application section

```xml
<input name="applicationInterestedName">
  <swe:DataRecord>
    <swe:field name="interestedValue">
      <swe:Quantity/>
    </swe:field>
    <swe:field name="userIP">
      <swe:Quantity/>
    </swe:field>
  </swe:DataRecord>
</input>
```

While process model provides metadata that is useful for sensor data discovering and assisting humans, the properties that are critical for supporting the execution of the Process Model within SensorML-enabled software are the inputs, outputs, parameters, and method properties. The following example describes the process of searching the target application from the application manager component. When associated with SensorML-enabled software implementation, defined within the
method property, it serialises an executable process for searching all existing applications.

Listing 7-15. The process chain for application search function

```xml
<components>
  <ComponentsList>
    <!-- application search -->
    <component name="applicationManager" xlink:arcole="urn:ogc:def:role:process">
      <ProcessModel>
        <inputs>
          <InputsList>
            <input name="applicationInterestedName">
              <swe:DataRecord>
                <swe:field name="interestedValue">
                  <swe:Quantity/>
                </swe:field>
                <swe:field name="userIP"/>
              </swe:DataRecord>
            </input>
            <input name="dataSource">
              <swe:DataRecord>
                <swe:field name="dataValue">
                  <swe:Quantity/>
                </swe:field>
                <swe:location gml:id="data_Location" definition="urn:ogc:def:property:OGC:location">
                  <swe:location/>
                </swe:location>
              </swe:DataRecord>
            </input>
          </InputsList>
          <outputs>
            <OutputList>
              <output name="returnValue">
                <swe:Quantity/>
              </output>
            </OutputList>
          </outputs>
          <parameters>
            <ParameterList>
              <parameter name="threshold">
              </parameter>
            </ParameterList>
          </parameters>
        </InputsList>
      </ProcessModel>
    </component>
  </ComponentsList>
</components>
```
The final stage of the process is building a connection and data transmission, which is similar to the user raised query. The user or database can connect with the target network directly.

Listing 7-16. Build connection from data source to user interface or database
<connection name="dataToUser">
  <Link>
    <source ref="this/dataSource/dataValue"/>
    <destination ref="userInterface/outputs/outputValue"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
<connection name="dataToDatabase">
  <Link>
    <source ref="this/dataSource/dataValue"/>
    <destination ref="database/inputs/inputsValue"/>
  </Link>
</connection>

On the other hand, the following listing shows the user register function that is used to establish the interested application for user and manage the users’ information for further connection.

Listing 7-17. Set a user registration
<connection name="userRegister">
  <Link>
    <source ref="userInterface/applicationInterested/interestedValue"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
7.2.3 System Description for 6LoWPAN Enabled Federated Sensor Network (6EFSN)

We have proposed a system architecture discussed in chapter 6. It is very similar to the previous DFSN, so the system description in SensorML is very similar. The queries to the DSNS can also be divided into user raised queries and sensor raised queries.

User Raised Query

Figure 7-6 User raised model for 6EFSN

Figure 7-6 is the sequence diagram for the user raised model of the 6EFSN system. The Sensor Allocator is removed because the IPv6 enabled WSNs are much easier to reach; the data source is replaced by 6LoWPAN sensor because the query can be delivered to a specific node device with the support of 6LoWPAN standard. Therefore, user raised real-time queries processed differently in DFSNs and 6EFSNs. The relevant code is shown below.
Listing 7-18. Send real-time query to IPv6 Enabled Sensor

```
<connection name="realtimeQuery">
  <Link>
    <source ref="sensorIndexer/outputs/realtimeQuery/sensorInfo/SensorIP"/>
    <destination ref="IPv6Sensor/inputs/SensorIP"/>
  </Link>
</connection>
```

Sensor Raised Query

The Sensor Raised Query in 6EFSN is basically the same as the one in DFSN. The only change in this diagram is to replace the Data Source to a 6LoWPAN Sensor; all other components remain the same. The sequence diagram is shown in Figure 7-7.

![Sequence diagram for Sensor Raised Model for 6EFSN](image)

Figure 7-7 Sensor raised model for 6EFSN

In a 6LoWPAN enabled FSN, a specific sensor node becomes easier to reach from an external network. The process of building a connection between the data consumer and the sensor node is IP based without address mapping. Listing 7-19 is the snippet of getting connected with IPv6 sensor node.

Listing 7-19. Build connection with IPv6 sensor node

```
<sml:component name="buildConnection">
  <sml:ProcessModel gml:id="connectSensorNode">
```

![Image of 6LoWPAN Sensor raised model for 6EFSN](image)
<sml:inputs>
  <sml:InputList>
    <sml:input name="sensorID">
      <swe:Category
definition="urn:ogc:def:input:NG::sensorInfo"/>
    </sml:input>
  </sml:InputList>
</sml:inputs>

<sml:outputs>
  <sml:OutputList>
    <sml:output name="SensorIP">
      <swe:Category definition="urn:ogc:def:input:NG::sensorInfo"/>
    </sml:output>
  </sml:OutputList>
</sml:outputs>

<sml:parameter>
  ...
</sml:parameter>

</sml:ProcessModel>

7.3 SensorML Profile Rules for FSN

The system description for three structures above is a SensorML instance that conforms to the profile, which is described in the following. The system description shall be harvestable by discovery services.

The open and flexible structure, such as FSN, are described by SensorML specifies many elements are optional, allows expressing the same information in several, differently structured ways. SensorML also realises the three FSN structures that can be applied into different sensor networks. In order to ensure the structure can be steadily handled by automatic harvesting mechanisms, there are some rules to define the information, which must be encoded in the structure.

The following is intended to be primarily applied SensorML to set rules or formats for FSN service. We assumed that the request of a single sensor network or application description returns the entire model of a system to its affiliates. Since the entire
system model incorporates the associated sensor or application descriptions into sensor or application manager, this approach enables several different WSNs to access the information of the aggregating system, as well as allowing its associated components in the FSN.

SensorML allows the formulation of separate rules that restrict an existing schema. The original XML schema does not have to be modified. The set of rules that make up the profile are presented below. The profile contains rules that restrict the System and Component elements for FSN, respectively. They are shown in sections 7.3.2 and 7.3.3 respectively. First, section 7.3.1 describes the rules that must execute during the validation process of every element types.

7.3.1 Common profile rules

In order to make sure that a list of keywords describing the sensor is provided in the System, and use for intended Applications() components, the rule shown in Listing 7-20 has been defined. The keyword should include all type of sensors and intended applications.

Listing 7-20. The rule for ensuring that a KeywordList is provided

```xml
<rule context="/sml:System">
  <assert test="sml:keywords/sml:KeywordList">Error: 'KeywordList' element has to be present</assert>
</rule>
<rule context="/sml:Component">
  <assert test="sml:keywords/sml:KeywordList">Error: 'KeywordList' element has to be present</assert>
</rule>
```

The rule defined in Listing 7-21 restricts the possible structure of the identification section. Each listed identifier, such as identify the sensor type, has to contain the definition attribute. The value of this attribute serves as a link to the semantic description of the identifier. Listing 7-22 shows that every classification element must contain a definition section. The definition can also improve the code readability for other users.
Listing 7-21. The rule for ensuring that every identifier contains a definition
<rule
  context="//sml:identification/sml:IdentifierList/sml:identifier/sml:Term">
  <assert test="string-length(@definition) > 0">Error: 'definition' attribute has to be present and its value has to be > 0.</assert>
</rule>

Listing 7-22. The rule for ensuring that every classification element contains a definition
<rule
  context="//sml:classification/sml:ClassifierList/sml:classifier/sml:Term">
  <assert test="string-length(@definition) > 0">Error: 'definition' attribute has to be present and its value has to be > 0.</assert>
</rule>

To be able to identify a system or a component uniquely, the rule in Listing 7-23 is defined. According to SensorML document, one identifier of the identification section must declare a definition attribute with the value urn:ogc:def:identifier:OGC:1.0:uniqueID. Then the value of the identifier’s Term element uniquely identifies the instance that can identify a uniqueID for each type of sensor or application in our system. In OCG, they provide many unique IDs for common sensors or applications, such as temperature.

Listing 7-23. The rule for ensuring that a uniqueID is provided
<rule context="/sml:identification">
  <assert
</rule>

Furthermore each sensor shall process a long and a short name (e.g. Temperature and temp in previous section). This is ensured by the rule shown in Listing 7-24.

Listing 7-24. The rule for ensuring that a longName and a shortName are provided
<rule context="/sml:identification">
  <assert
    test="count(sml:IdentifierList/sml:identifier/sml:Term[@definition = 'urn:ogc:def:identifier:OGC:longName']) = 1" >Error: one identifier has to be of the type 'urn:ogc:def:identifier:OGC:longName'.</assert>
</rule>
<assert test="count(sml:IdentifierList/sml:identifier/sml:Term[@definition = 'urn:ogc:def:identifier:OGC:shortName']) = 1">Error: one identifier has to be of the type 'urn:ogc:def:identifier:OGC:shortName'.</assert>
</rule>

7.3.2 System specific profile rules

A SensorML has to incorporate one member element that contains exactly one system. In FSN, it has to contain more than one WSN. The rule is expressed by the following listing.

Listing 7-25. The rule for ensuring that a member element contains exactly one system
<rule context="/" test="count(sml:SensorML/sml:member) = 1">Error!</rule>
<assert test="count(sml:SensorML/sml:member/sml:System) = 1">Error!</assert>
</rule>

Each system must contain information about the time it is valid for. As the SensorML schema defines this can either be a gml:TimePeriod or a gml:TimeInstant describing the instant in time at which the stated metadata about the System has become valid. This should be used in the database section or the application manager section when awaiting data transmission or event detection in our system.

Listing 7-26. The rule for ensuring that every system a validTime element is provided
<rule context="/sml:System">
<assert test="sml:validTime">Error: 'validTime' element has to be present</assert>
</rule>

The components section of a system description lists the descriptions of the associated sensors. The component element must contain either the attribute xlink:href to specify an external reference to the sensor description, or a component such as a child element, which describes the sensor inline. It can be used as a link between sensor node and intended application. This condition is formulated in Listing 7-27.
Listing 7-27. The rule for ensuring that descriptions for the Components of a System are provided

```
<rule context="//sml:ComponentList/sml:Component">
  <assert test="(not(sml:Component) or (not (@xlink:href) and sml:Component))">Error!</assert>
</rule>
```

### 7.3.3 Component specific profile rules

According to the common rules defined in section 7.3.1 a Component description has to fulfi the rule specified in Listing 7-28. It defines that a Component element has to contain at least one classifier with the definition `urn:ogc:def:classifier:OGC:1.0:sensorType`. The value of its contained Term element states the type of the sensor and the list of intended application, which we used to, described the application and sensor register components in section 7.2.1.

Listing 7-28. The rule for ensuring that for every Component the sensor type is described

```
<rule context="//sml:Component/sml:classification">
  <assert test="count(sml:ClassifierList/sml:classifier/sml:Term[@definition = 'urn:ogc:def:classifier:OGC:1.0:sensorType']) >= 1" >Error!</assert>
</rule>
```

### 7.4 Discussion

At the beginning of this chapter, we introduce the basic characters of the SensorML. It is used to provide an information model and encodings that enable discovery and tasking of web-resident sensors, and exploitation of sensor observations. On top of that, we presented an approach for defining a SensorML profile that can be used for sensor network and FSN service. The profile was developed under the three FSN architecture description and aims to meet the requirements of FSN system design. This work provides a comprehensive pointing for the future development to achieve the FSN in a more compatible and easier way.
The Internet of Things (IoT) aims to connect individual smart “network enabled” objects to the Internet using wireless/wired technologies for secure and efficient deployment of services using these objects. Since the concept of the IoT was put forward in 2005, we see the deployment of a new generation of networked objects with communication, with sensory and action capabilities for numerous applications, mainly in global supply chain management, environment monitoring and mobile target tracking. One of the scientific and technical challenges in the design of the IoT is the architecture design, which enables the interconnection of trillions of smart objects. This chapter employs radio frequency identification (RFID) sensor networks as smart “network enabled” objects and proposes a service-oriented IoT architecture for logistics management.

The Gateway solution of the integration method which was introduced in Chapter 5 is the one be selected for this case study. The core components of this system are Domain Sensor Name Server, Sensor Service Publisher, Historical Database and
RFID sensor networks. Using emergency response operation as a case study, this chapter demonstrates the possible implementation and strategic values of the IoT architecture in logistics management.

8.1 IoT in logistics supply chain management

Logistics is one of the main application areas where the IoT is considered a suitable solution. Research of the technology level of the IoT challenge domains by applying specific IoT enabling technologies, such as RFID, sensors and sensor networks, to the field of logistics. Researchers have shown that by using RFID, the status of material and vehicles can be tracked and monitored throughout the supply chain and in logistics centres to increase delivery reliability in terms of correct material orders and timely deliveries (Hamzehet et al., 2007). More and more logistics centres are adopting RFID systems to improve performance. Ngai (Ngai et al., 2007) presents their findings of a case study on the development of a RFID prototype system integrated with mobile commerce in a container depot. RFID has been employed at Shanghai Port to replace the legacy IC card systems to track container trucks in the operation zones (Shu et al., 2007). RFID-based real-time parts tracking system used at the Oklahoma City Air Logistics Centre (OC-ALC) has successfully reduced service times for aircraft by over 50%, resulting in the aircraft spending more time in the air and less on the ground. The literature (Staake et al., 2005) has shown the potential of using RFID systems to protect products against theft and plagiarism. Wal-mart, the world’s largest retailer, has implemented RFID into its supply chain, which gives them the ability to know where every item is in the supply chain (Roberti, 2003). Sensors are also implemented in some logistics supply chain practices. In the Sydney Port Intermodal Logistics Centre at Enfield, sensors are deployed with time switches to control the comfort heating/cooling and light switches to optimise building performance and system control strategies. A container tracking system has also been tested at Berlin Inner-City Logistics Centre with temperature, pressure and humidity sensors implemented to monitor the freight status, and movement and shock sensors.
for security monitoring. Those case studies demonstrated the potential of the enabling technologies of the IoT in logistics management in identifying objects and monitoring the condition and status of some particular freight with special needs.

Work has been also carried out to investigate the integration and interconnection of those enabling technologies at the communication and networking level of the IoT. Liu (Liu, 2007) used a field bus to connect sensors and RFID readers to a central server, whilst Jedermann et al. (Jedermann et al., 2006) designed and implemented a freight agent module to integrate RFID and Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) in a container for fruit logistics. A further improved system was recently presented by Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2011) to seamlessly integrate RFID, sensors and WSNs into a unified ZigBee system architecture, which is named as the ZigBee RFID Sensor Network. However, most of the existing researches focus on a local system design and a single-site and single-purpose implementation. For supporting the global vision of the IoT, current systems lack scalability and flexibility to serve multiple applications in the scope of a global supply chain.

Some researches, such as logisticians and information engineering practitioners, have been advancing the intelligence level of the IoT. For example, (Ten Hompel, 2006) considers autonomous transport of logistics objects from the sender to the delivery address, whilst Thompson (Thompson et al., 2008) models a healthcare logistics in a virtual world trying to illustrate the scenario and address social issues raised. Numerous groups (Fleisch et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006; Li et al., 2006; Tajima, 2007) have also quantified the performance improvement in terms of inventory reduction, accuracy, cost and out-of-stock levels, and how the IoT and its enabling technologies can provide for various types of supply chains. Most of these works focus particularly on retail supply chains. They mainly target management and business models and are usually based on the assumption that the pervasive network with the desired identification and sensory data collection functionalities is already available.

Little has been done so far in the architecture design of the IoT for developing an
efficient, reliable and flexible architecture for interconnecting distributed smart ‘network enabled’ objects globally for real-time requests. In this chapter, we first combine RFID with wireless sensor networks for local comprehensive data collection, and propose a service-oriented architecture of the IoT for global use in logistics supply chain management. Emergency response operation scenario is used to illustrate the implementation and strategic values in the use of the proposed IoT architecture.

8.2 Requirements to the IoT for Logistics Supply Chain Management

8.2.1 Comprehensive data sources

Global supply chain management deals with an integrated supply chain that ranges from supply, purchase, production, transportation, storage, sale to consumption. The accuracy and timeliness of the information collected from the supply chain at different links is the key for logistics supply chain management. The lack of collecting and sharing information likely makes the supply chain rupture and results in low efficiency and resource waste. Traditionally, real-time information collected from RFID tags is used in almost every link of supply chain ranging from commodity design, raw material purchasing, production, transportation, storages, distribution and sale. Unfortunately, RFID can only provide static information, such as product ID, and manufacturing date etc. Dynamic information is required in order to monitor and even control the changing environment and the status of products throughout the supply chain to ensure smooth and efficient operation and to quickly respond to the changeable market and exception environments. The continuous progress in microelectronics and wireless communication techniques makes it now possible to deploy various wireless sensor networks alongside the supply chain links. Hybrid RFID sensor network is crucial for providing Who, What, When and Where (4Ws) information to the IoT for supply chain management.
8.2.2 Multiple users, multiple applications and multiple data sources

A logistics supply chain consists of multiple sites and multiple users located at different geographical positions. This means multiple users need to access multiple distributed sensory data sources simultaneously or sequentially. Furthermore, the global supply chain usually concerns a globally deployed workflow that involves various organizations. Each of them has their own applications requiring access to multiple services in the network. Thus the design of the IoT for supply chain management requires the functionality of feeding multiple applications with multiple sensory data sources. Moreover, organizations in the global supply chain need to view their information as a strategic asset and ensure that it flows with minimum delay and distortion (Li et al., 2006). Minimum distortion requires that data sharing in the global supply chain must be reliable, while the minimum delay means that the majority of information is time critical, with some needing real-time transmission and the others having time scale. In this case, the designed architecture of the IoT for supply chain management should have a certain level of emergency mechanism to make sure that the system suffers minimum data loss if anything goes wrong, and should also be capable to reliably respond to multiple users’ data requirements within a specified time delay. As a global supply chain management may have a relatively large number of applications and information sources, the IoT needs to be optimized to handle a huge amount of access requests.

8.2.3 Service-Oriented Architecture

Simply adopting the IoT enabling technologies, such as RFID and WSN, in a local system is not enough. Global supply-chain management requires a supply chain-wide information infrastructure, which is used to directly disseminate relevant market information throughout the chain as a whole in order to avoid time loss. Thus an efficient, reliable and low cost architecture that accommodates the various technologies is needed. Additionally, it needs to be implemented throughout the global supply chain to enable effective data sharing, which can enable more accurate
services for various decision support systems in the logistics process.

Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2011) proposed a ZigBee RFID sensor network for humanitarian logistics centre management as an all-in-one solution. This work provided a reference system design to integrate and interconnect various IoT enabling technologies in one unified on-site system architecture. In this chapter, however, we go one step further and propose an Internet-based service-oriented architecture. Our system integrates multiple RFID sensor networks from different locations to serve various decision support system applications located throughout the global supply chain. Additionally, it also enhances information communication by publishing, registering, and consuming application functionality, namely services, over the IoT architecture.

In the following two sections, we propose hybrid RFID sensor networks and Internet-based service-oriented architecture respectively to meet the above requirements raised in global supply chain management.

8.3 Combining RFID with WSN for Data Collection

Traditional data collection networks normally consist of either RFID tags with their readers or interconnected wireless sensors. As discussed in the previous section, global supply chain management needs data and information collected from both RFID and wireless sensors to answer the four questions: Who, What, When and Where. RFID provides the Who (ID). Most people usually think RFID can provide ‘Where’ at all times. Unfortunately, what RFID does is tell us where an object was the last time it went through a reader. Combining RFID and WSNs can provide the What, When and Where in an unified information infrastructure. With possible sensing capabilities, sensor enabled RFID networks will become responsive and conscious of the asset state and environmental conditions, from which we are able to ensure that our goods are not only in specific locations, but also in an appropriate condition.
Sensor enabled RFID networks can also be used in production line logistic management. Tagging some core component/assembly and recording their specifications would lead to quicker repairs or better maintenance. Tags made writable, active and integrated with special sensors can meet the needs of feedback status (temperature, pressure, humidity etc.) of the object tagged. Such a network can also be responsible for the monitoring of manufacturing processes, tracking tagged components and status of a product. Therefore, people can ensure that automated processes are kept synchronized and product quality is under well control throughout the manufacturing processes.

RFID network and sensor network can be combined by using the ‘reader as a sensor’ approach for active, passive, and semi-active RFID systems or by the ‘tag as a sensor’ approach for only active RFID systems (Yang et al., 2007).

In the ‘reader as a sensor’ approach, the conception of a ‘sensor’ is extended. Generally a sensor is a device that responds to a stimulus of a particular type of environmental condition or pursues a specific physical measurement. In the ‘reader as a sensor’ approach, the concept of the sensor is extended to involve the RFID reader device as a sensor. What a reader device ‘senses’ is the appearance, the approaching or the passing of a RFID transponder/tag within its reading range. The RFID readers and the sensor motes (units) of the sensor networks are considered to be in the same layer in the unified system architecture. The sensor network gateway device, such as a sensor coordinator acts as the gateway device between the RFID readers and the external network. All information generated by the readers are sent to the users via the sensor network gateway device. This ‘Reader as a sensor’ architecture can integrate active, semi-active or even passive RFID networks as the reading procedure or the communication between the tags and readers are very similar to the typical RFID systems.

In the ‘tag as a sensor’ approach, the ‘sensor’ conception is also extended to treat the RFID transponder/tag devices as a sensor. A transponder device ‘senses’ the unique
identification code stored in the tag’s memory. When a tagged asset or a person goes within the reading range of a reader device, the tag senses the identification code of the asset or the person and transmits the identification code to the reader device. In this case, the RFID tags and the sensor units are considered to be in one layer of the unified architecture. The reader devices and sensor network router devices are in another layer.

Figure 8.1 Hybrid RFID sensor network architecture (Yang et al., 2011)

Figure 8.1 illustrates an example for both ‘reader as a sensor’ and ‘tag as a sensor’ hybrid architecture. Here, RFID readers, active RFID tags, passive RFID tags, wireless routing devices and wireless sensors form a unified network and connect with an external server through a sensor gateway.

8.4 Internet-based Service-Oriented Architecture

Global supply chain management systems are difficult to implement and maintain. The main reasons for this are the lack of an efficient, reliable, and low cost architecture and the ever-changing demands for businesses and the vastly different needs of different end users. Such demands and needs can be met by providing customization functionality to the end users and organizations under a flexible service-oriented architecture. In this section, we will describe the proposed
Internet-based service-oriented architecture for the IoT in the application of global supply chain management. We expect this IoT architecture support multiple users, multiple applications and multiple data sources in the global supply chain applications. Furthermore, it provides one unified platform to collect, share, process and query data from distributed RFID sensor networks, and allow these RFID sensor networks to join and leave the IoT without affecting the rest of systems.

Figure 8-2 IoT service-oriented architecture for supply chain management

Figure 8-2 shows the IoT architecture for global supply chain management, which considers these needs. The main components are RFID sensor networks, Sensor Service Publishers (SSP), distributed local historical database systems, and a Domain Sensor Name Server (DSNS). The RFID sensor networks send their data via the SSP, either to end users for responding to their queries or to the local databases for backup if any change occurs. The DSNS works in a similar way to the Domain Name System (DNS) in the Internet and points the received queries to a corresponding SSP, where the response is formed first and then sent to the end-users over the Internet. The dataflow between the end-users, the SSPs, the DSNS, and the local database systems is illustrated in Figure 8-3. All communications in this Internet-based architecture are
supported by TCP/IP, except the communication within the RFID sensor networks where IEEE 802.15.4 and ZigBee are employed for data collection with low cost, low rate and short range communications.

Figure 8-3 Dataflow of the IoT architecture for supply chain management

8.4.1 Sensor Service Publisher

Front-End Proxy approach, Gateway approach and TCP/IP Overlay approach are three approaches of integrating low-rate wireless personal area network (LR-WPAN), such as the above RFID sensor networks into an IP enabled architecture (Shelby et al., 2009) like the Internet. The gateway approach is a traditional approach widely used with non-IP wireless embedded networks and other vendor-specific solutions. In the gateway approach, a gateway is introduced at the edge of the network to deal with the IP services. The compression approach leads to the compression of the existing protocols so they can be made suitable to use over the LR-WPAN. The proposed IoT architecture for supply chain management shown in Figure 8-3 adopts the gateway approach. The SSP is designed as an extended gateway for reporting its own RFID sensor network’s characteristics, publishing the availability of collected data and uploading these data to the Internet. The SSP is also a type of service provider. When
it joins a RFID sensor network, the SSP maintains a connection between the RFID sensor network and the Internet and responds to queries from the DSNS.

### 8.4.2 Local historical database

Decision-making in the global supply chain management requires adequate situation assessment. However, this requires processing of large amounts of heterogeneous sensory data and information from spatially dispersed sources such as the RFID sensor networks described in the previous section. In traditional approaches sensory data and other information are transferred to a server and processed centrally. However, central processing has many disadvantages. For example, central approaches suffer from inadequate communication and processing capacity, vulnerability to single-point failures, and delay in real-time data fusion. In order to avoid problems of centralized approaches, we introduce distributed local databases for RFID sensor networks deployed. Using a warehouse as an example, a local database is installed for storing any changing data collected by the RFID sensor network deployed in and around the warehouse. The automatic data collection is even-driven and triggered by any change in the warehouse. Data query is made by the end-users over the Internet and pointed to the corresponding local database by the DSNS. The response to the data query is managed by and sent from the local database systems.

### 8.4.3 Domain sensor name server

The Domain Sensor Name Server (DSNS) is a sensory data central indexing system and works in a similar way to the Domain Name Server in the Internet. The DSNS points the queries received from the end-users to a corresponding SSP or a corresponding local sensor database where the required data is collected or saved, which forms a response to the query first; the query is then sent to the end-users. The DSNS features a sensor manager, an application manager, a sensor indexer and a sensor allocator. Figure 8-4 illustrates the interaction and workflow between these components: online exception data and offline exception data go to the end-users and
the local database systems respectively; real-time data order goes to the identified RFID sensor networks; and historical data order goes to the identified local database systems.

Figure 8-4 Interaction between the components of the DSNS

- **Sensor Manager** is for sensor networks registration. It provides a mechanism for registering new RFID sensor networks by specifying their types, descriptions and names. In addition, it implements a maintenance function for updating the characteristics of any previously registered RFID sensor networks.

- **Application Manager** is designed to register the application information, such as IP address, application functionality and the types of sensory data required. The reason for storing this information is to notify these applications whenever those RFID sensor networks capture any predefined exception data for them.
- Sensor Indexer provides a search engine, which implements the search services in terms of the sensor type or sensor characteristic for registered applications. The search engine searches the RFID sensor networks in terms of the sensor type, location, and characteristic. If there is one or more RFID sensor networks that can provide the specified type of sensory data, a positive response will be fed to the Sensor Allocator, where a direct data transmission link between the identified RFID sensor network and the data requester will be arranged.

- Sensor Allocator is the most important and heavily loaded part of the DSNS. It allocates a connection link between the data requester (i.e. an application) and the data provider that is a SSP. There are two types of queries that may trigger the Sensor Allocator:

**Sensor raised queries:** These occur once a RFID sensor network has detected any predefined exception data and the data has been transmitted to the SSP. The SSP will publish both properties of the data and the sensors that collected the data to the DSNS. The Application Manager in the DSNS checks whether there is any registered application interested in the detected exception data. If there is, the Application Manager returns the identified application connection information to the Sensor Allocator. The Sensor Allocator sends a connection request with a listening port number to the application and waits for the connection confirmation from the application. If there is no application interested in the detected exception data or there is no connection confirmation received, the local database system saves the detected sensory data for future use.

**User raised queries:** User raised query occurs when an application sends a search request to the Sensor Manager of the DSNS and ask for a particular type of data. The Sensor Indexer will search for any SSP registered in the DSNS that can provide the data required by the application. The Sensor Allocator will return the details of the identified SSP, including the IP address and the port number to the application where
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the user raised query was made. The SSP activates the listening port for receiving and confirms connection requests from the application.

8.5 Implementation Issues

DSNS: As the DSNS works in a similar way to the DNS server on the Internet, SCO Unix (The SCO Group, 2010) on which the DNS is based is chosen as the operation system in the implementation of the DSNS. The SCO Unix supports five different types of configurations: primary server, second server, caching-only server, slave model server and client. The first four models match the features of the DSNS and have been employed in building the DSNS.

SSP: The duties of the SSP include sensory data extraction, registration of the current RFID sensor networks at the DSNS, and responds to the control commands received from the DSNS. The SSP is implemented as an IP-enabled ZigBee router in the ZigBee RFID sensor network. It consists of two parts: a sensory data extraction part, i.e. RFID sensor network sink node, and a service publishing and query response part. The sensory data extraction part collects the desired sensory data and transmits it to the service publishing and query response part, where data reading, data publishing, and service control are implemented. The service controller stores the connected RFID sensor network specifications and is assigned an IP address by the Sensor Allocator in the DSNS for data communication with the data requestors.

Local database system: The local database system is implemented in MySQL and used to store any predefined exception sensory data. It is made Internet-available by registering the database servers at the DSNS. For dealing with multiple data queries, a thread pool approach is introduced in the local database system. The thread pool holds a number of live threads for instant use. Reusing a thread for multiple tasks can reduce the overhead and the response time caused by thread creation. The local database system may dynamically adjust the number of threads in the thread pool if the number of data queries increase to a level higher than a certain threshold.
**End-user applications**: There are two categories of end-user applications that query and consume data provided by the IoT architecture: standalone applications and web-based applications. The standalone applications are individual client programs for heavy data usage users who need to regularly check the sensory data and request data from the fixed RFID sensor networks. It can be programmed in JAVA to ensure cross platform usability. The web-based applications are for the users who just want to do a quick look, but do not wish to install monitoring software in advance on their local computers. The web-based applications contain the basic functions, like logging in/out, searching, registering various interests and displaying sensory data. It can be coded in Java Server Pages (JSP) or other web programming languages. The communication protocol between end-users, the SSP, and the DSNS is the TCP/IP. The IP enabled LR-WPAN protocol is used at the SSP to build an upper link with the Internet and a down link with the RFID sensor networks.

### 8.6 Strategic Values of the IoT architecture in Logistics Management for Emergency Response Operation

Logistics has always been considered as an important factor in emergency response operations. When disaster strikes, first response teams need not only to rescue and support civilians in the disaster area, but also maintain their capability of fighting the disaster in the short- and long-term. Logistics includes rescue equipment, vehicles and on-site staff as well as food, medicine and general living goods.

#### 8.6.1 System implementation in Logistics management for emergency response operations

The emergency response operations require the participation of a wide range of organisations, including fire brigades, police forces, ambulance services, local or national public sectors, and humanitarian aid organisations such as the British Red Cross etc. Extensive information and resource sharing or other cooperation between
separated organisations is crucial and becoming more common. Figure 8-5 demonstrates how the proposed IoT architecture can be implemented in logistics management for emergency response operations.

Figure 8-5 Implementation of the IoT architecture in emergency logistics management

In the disaster zone, all rescue equipment, fire engines, vehicles, fire fighters, medicine staffs are tagged with RFID tags. The RFID readers are installed in the entrances of the disaster zone. These RFID readers and tags form a RFID sensor network with some instantly deployed environment sensors. A SSP is installed in a vehicle equipped with a long distance wireless communication device such as a 3G transceiver connected with a Satellite or Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access (WiMAX) network. Not far away from the disaster zone, one or more refugee centres are set up, where staff, food, medicine, and general living goods are tagged as well. Each refugee centre forms a RFID sensor network and links with a SSP, which builds a communication with the remote DSNS or the end-users over the Satellite or WiMAX network. Each SSP is also equipped with a local database system. The DSNS can be installed in the headquarters of a regional fire and rescue service, which provides management functionality to the IoT architecture. The headquarters of the fire brigades, local police stations and hospitals become aware of the latest disaster
development and response progress through the IoT architecture. Logistics demands from the first response teams and refugee centres are made aware to the corresponding organisations as well.

8.6.2 Strategic benefits of the IoT architecture for emergency response operations

As mentioned in the previous section, there are enormous potential benefits of adopting the proposed IoT architecture in logistics management for emergency response operations. This section identifies strategic benefits of them from the fundamental characteristics of what the proposed IoT architecture is or does: (i) the IoT architecture is global and real-time; (ii) sensing is wireless and the collected data are comprehensive; and (iii) it monitors environment and traces mobile objects.

The first fundamental characteristic of the proposed IoT architecture is being a global and real-time solution. It perfectly fits the distributed feature of general logistics management, particularly emergency response operations. Firstly, because the IoT architecture is Internet-based or other wide-area network-based, the scope of the architecture has no physical boundary. Any object linked with the network can be incorporated into the architecture. In disaster situations, decision makers must respond appropriately to different adverse situations. Effective emergency response operation relies on sufficient supplies of emergency attacking forces and resources. The global IoT architecture makes the progress in disaster attacking remotely visible. Therefore, resources can be best allocated and delivered to the disaster scene. Secondly, the data communication is real-time or almost real-time over the IoT architecture. Real-time data meets the decision-making needs of command and control personnel in dynamic disaster response operations and enables the cooperation between multiple organisations and between multiple operators within a same organisation.

The second characteristic of the proposed IoT architecture is being wireless, which possesses the ability to provide a comprehensive type of data for logistics
management. The RFID sensor network in the IoT architecture integrates RFID network and wireless sensor network into a unified information infrastructure. RFID readers do not require physical contact with their tags, and wireless sensors sense the environment and transmit the reading to the receivers over-the-air. No line of sight is required in the RFID sensor network for their sensing tasks. Batch reading is possible, which means that multiple tags and sensors can be read simultaneously. This feature significantly increases efficiencies in logistics management, which is absolutely important in disaster response and humanitarian aid operations as the situations may dramatically change in few minutes. RFID sensor networks provide a comprehensive scope of data about the 4Ws (Who, What, When and Where), which is a unique identifier not only to an object, but also to the environment where the object exists. We argue these 4Ws information better support logistics management than RFID only, which can only provide a unique identifier to an object.

The third characteristic of the proposed IoT architecture is the ability to monitor the environment and trace mobile objects. By combining RFID sensor networks with other technologies, such as global positioning system (GPS), or infrared sensor detection, RFID sensor networks provide the ability of wireless, real-time monitoring and tracking of any tagged mobile objects in an indoor or outdoor environment to provide complete visibility in the logistics management. Such visibility would enable instant response to any exception event, distributed information sharing among multiple organisations and multiple users.

Figure 8-6 summarises the strategic benefits that the IoT architecture can achieve through the above three characteristics. It is notable that all the benefits are realised in three ways: information sharing, information retrieving, and information explanation. Li and Visich (Li et al., 2006) and Tajima (Tajima, 2007) compiled a comprehensive list of benefits across the supply chain by using RFID technologies, such as reduced shrinkage, reduced material handling and lower inventory. The benefits given in Figure 8-6 show the benefits given by Li and Visich (Li et al., 2006) and Tajima
(Tajima, 2007). It is abstract in the sense that we focus on those benefits achieved by using only the proposed IoT architecture, rather than using RFID tags in the supply chain. However, it is more detailed in the sense that the benefits achieved are application-specific for on-site emergency response operations, rather than for generic logistics supply chain management.

![Diagram showing characteristic, ways of achieving benefits, and strategic benefits of the IoT architecture in emergency response operations.]

Figure 8-6 Strategic benefits of the IoT architecture in emergency response operations

8.7 Discussion

The IoT has many positive impacts on every stage in the global logistics supply chain from the manufacturing stage all the way to the retail stage. It enhances supply chain visibility, tracks deliveries in real time, improves data accuracy and thus provides the ability of faster exception management. In general, scientific and technical challenges in the development of the IoT require different competencies: challenges of interconnecting massive smart ‘network-enabled’ objects, challenges of networking these massive smart objects with external networks, and challenges of data storage, data discovery and data sharing. This chapter addresses these challenges by providing a service-oriented IoT architecture and investigating the implementation issues and potential strategic benefits, which may be realised particularly for emergency response operations.

From a technical perspective, this chapter contributes to knowledge by presenting the requirements to the IoT for logistics management, proposing and implementing an
Internet-based service-oriented architecture to meet the identified requirements. The SSP makes the sensory data collected from the associated RFID sensor network network-available. The DSNS designed for the IoT management works in a similar way to the Domain Name Server in the Internet and is efficient, reliable and flexible.

From a decision-making and information management perspective, this case study contributes to knowledge by identifying the strategic benefits that may be realised in emergency response operations through applying the proposed IoT architecture. The benefits include better resource allocation, cooperation among multiple participants in emergency operations, faster and accurate situation awareness of disaster scenes, complete visibility of disaster development, response forces, and their remaining capability. The ways of achieving these strategic benefits are through information acquisition, information sharing and information explanation. These benefits are derived as emergency response specific, but expected to apply for a wide range of logistics management applications, where both static and dynamic information are required.

The major limitation of this research is a lack of large scale empirical support and evaluation of the IoT architecture, despite it working perfectly in our experiments. When a large number of RFID sensor networks join the IoT, several technical difficulties are expected. For example, the data flow to the DSNS may significantly increase and a bottleneck may occur. Another obvious challenge in the real application of the proposed IoT architecture is security and data confidentiality among various data providers and data consumers. This problem is a common challenge faced by any collaborative platform based on a public communication media, such as the Internet.
Chapter 9. Conclusions and Future Work

9.1 Summary

The Internet of Things (IoT) is currently one of the hottest research topics; this includes wireless sensor network (WSN), Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), network integration and in-network processing etc. WSN is one of the related topics focusing on monitoring and control. It is a fast-growing technology that has shown great potential in future applications. A hybrid sensing and monitoring application is always expected to answer four questions: Who, What, When and Where (4Ws), and neither of the two technologies is able to provide complete information for all of them. The Sensing parts like WSN and RFID technologies can provide information but lack the ability of data sharing, which means they cannot provide a complementary 4Ws. A federated system that integrates the various WSNs and the Internet gives a complete answer to the 4Ws and is promising for information systems in future sensing and monitoring applications.

This Thesis demonstrates the research that has been carried out so far on the subject of Federated Sensor Network (FSN). The review of related technologies gives a comprehensive introduction of the popular technologies related to FSNs called “the
Internet of Things”, which refers to the networked interconnection of everyday objects. It is generally viewed as numerous self-configuring WSNs that aim to interconnect all things. The fundamental purpose of the IoT is to make anytime, anywhere connections between humans and other humans, humans and objects, even objects and objects. In addition, the IoT also needs the ability to compare, identify and filter incoming information from sensors. The IoT can be considered as the extension of the Internet. It enriches the Internet resources by feeding in information from the real world. The investigation of the 6LoWPAN helps us to address the challenges of IoT deployment and brings IPv6 into the world of IoT. A decade has passed since the IoT concept has been proposed. However, until now, there is no IoT specific standard, such as modelling, algorithm and protocol published. Chapter 2 analyses the current problems of the IoT modelling method and points out the possible solutions. With the rapid development of integrated circuit, more and more products have Internet accessibility, like TV, Blue-Ray player, fridge etc. Just like what Paul Otellini (the CEO of Intel) talked in 2008 Intel Developer Forum (IDF): “In future, all the electricity products will be connected into the Internet”. Nowadays, the network system has become the key part of the IoT. RFID and WSN technologies will be combined with the Internet and become a more complete information system. At the end of this thesis, the case study based on the IoT combines the recent developed technology to improve the situation of logistic service, identify the strategic advantages after IoT getting involved and address the lack of current technique development.

In the later part of this thesis, the development of FSN is carried out. These can be divided into three different solutions: the Front-End Proxy solution, the Gateway solution and the TCP/IP Overlay solution. All of these three solutions have been investigated, improved and demonstrated. In the Front-End Proxy solution, we introduced a centralized federated sensor network. After the demonstration we found a centralized architecture makes it difficult to build a large scale federated system because increasing data processing and query processing may case the system to
become very inefficient. Then, we introduce the Gateway solution. It solves the problem of the Front-End Proxy solution by separating the query process and data transmission. At the end, the TCP/IP Overlay solution is carried out from the requirement of iNet localisation and tracking system. It is a localisation and tracking system aimed to provide functional vehicle tracking in the manufactory parking yard. With the benefits of 6LoWPAN, applications from external networks can easily access the specific sensor node by its IPv6 address. This reduces the response time significantly and systems can be easily deployed to cover the whole area with multiple sensor networks. A comparison table of three types of integration solutions between localization and tracking application and emergency response case study is listed below.

Table 9-1 Comparison of integration solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overview</th>
<th>Localization and tracking</th>
<th>Emergency response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front-end proxy</td>
<td>Centralized management, WSNs keep their own behaviours,</td>
<td>System may face extra delay, No change to</td>
<td>Limited system scale, Minimal modification to current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data store and forward, Architecture redundancy.</td>
<td>existing nodes.</td>
<td>devices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>Mixed architecture, Bottleneck at single node of failure,</td>
<td>Lower delay than front-end solution.</td>
<td>System scale guaranteed, Balance of performance and costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Application layer access.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP/IP overlay</td>
<td>Distributed mechanisms, Resilient to node failure, Direct</td>
<td>Security issues need to be addressed, Fast</td>
<td>IPv6 not necessary, Extra costs of end devices, Security issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>access to the node.</td>
<td>response, Direct Internet access.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, we introduced the SensorML to represent the three systems in details. It is the general model and XML encoding for sensors and observations and measurements. With its help, the federated sensor network system can be easily built by the professional programing group for large applications in the IoT concept.

9.2 Contributions

This thesis aims to develop a framework for the IoT. The research in this thesis is
based on ZigBee/IEEE802.15.4, which is currently the most widely used WSN technology. Three possible architectures of integrating the WSN and the Internet have been presented. The contribution of this thesis to knowledge can be split into five parts.

Firstly, we proposed the centralized federated sensor network, which is based on the front-end proxy solution of integration between WSN and the Internet. After introducing the structure and the reactions between different components, we presented detailed infrastructure and the core component named virtual coordinator. This architecture is able to deal with multiple WSN and feed sensor data to multiple data consumptions. Demonstration systems based on the proposed architecture with two ZigBee based WSNs are used to validate the designs.

Secondly, we proposed a distributed federated sensor network using the gateway solution of the integration. We discussed the features of the centralised architecture and noticed that design is usually developed for small and simple scenarios. In large and complex systems, a centralized architecture may cause a serious delay or even system failure. We presented and discussed a distributed system, which separates the data flow and query flow, as in a hybrid system. It presents a unified and flexible system with a “DSNS” build-in, which borrows the concept of DNS on the Internet to serve sensor networks. A demonstration system of the architecture was developed based on ZigBee WSN to validate the design.

Thirdly, we proposed the enhanced architecture that is IPv6 enabled from the TCP/IP overlay integrate solution. The previous integration solutions separate the WSN and the Internet by a gateway or a proxy server that leads to high communication delay. The 6LoWPAN provides a convenient method for both external application and WSNs to get direct access to each other. With the benefit of IP-address-banded sensor nodes, the system is able to handle multiple real-time aware applications like indoor tracking and military monitoring. And also, a demonstration system validates the design.
Fourthly, we presented an implementation for the iNet localisation and tracking project by using the 6LoWPAN based FSN as the infrastructure of the system. It shows the feasibility of transferring the real-time data over a federated sensor network. And also implement a localisation and tracking system by fingerprinting algorithm based on WSN.

Fifthly, we completed the FSN systems’ components and processes description in SensorML, and presented them with UML sequence diagrams for the proposed FSN architectures. This work will make our FSN concept much easier be understand and re-built, it also provides a more compatible and easier way for the future development to achieve the FSN even the Internet of Things.

Sixthly, we conducted a case study of the proposed architecture in logistics management scenario for Emergency Response. We analysed the requirements of information infrastructure for a logistics management system. By discussing the implementation of a federated sensor network that integrates various WSN and the Internet, we concluded that the system is able to meet the requirement of providing information acquisition, information sharing and information explanation. By properly implementing the federated sensor network, the visibility of resources and be increased. That provides the ability of faster exception management as an emergency response application.

In summary, all the objectives listed in Chapter 1 have been achieved. The objective for logistic management related IoT architectural design is completed. We haven’t done the field trial for the case study because of the limitation of resources. We proposed a series of different architectures for the integration of various WSNs with the Internet using different solutions. We also demonstrated the proposed architectures through experimental implementation and laboratory testing. The tests have verified the feasibility and features of our designs. At the end, these three systems are realised in SensorML for formal development and construction in the future.
9.3 Future Work

Based on the study of existing work carried out so far, future work should be targeted at providing reasonable Quality of Service for the DSNS. This work may also lead to the research on the dynamically adapting for different scales of the FSN systems. Secondly, the 6LoWPAN enabled system may face to the problem of network security as the sensor nodes are exposed on the Internet directly. There can be achieved by developing a functional lightweight firewall; or by enhancing the security mechanism on the individual 6LoWPAN enabled sensor device, such as implanting a strong authentication before connecting to the individual sensor node. Thirdly, the research of FSN is focused on the development of integrating the Internet and WSNs, but other technology might be able to join the system, like the cloud computing, to for a collaboration of novel technologies to create the future Internet of Things.
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